
The humble hydrogen atom has taught us much during the past two centuries, ultimately leading to the atomic picture of Bohr. As this month’s cover feature argues, physicists are morally obligated to subject anti-hydrogen to the same analytical tools – in particular to test if antimatter obeys the same fundamental symmetries as matter. Following a long campaign, the ALPHA collaboration at CERN’s Antiproton Decelerator (AD) has recently measured the antihydrogen 1S–2S transition and other spectral properties of antihydrogen, opening a new direction of exploration. Meanwhile, the AD’s BASE experiment has measured the antiproton magnetic moment with exquisite precision, further testing symmetries such as CPT. We also describe a Cornell-Brookhaven project to build the first superconducting multi-turn energy recovery linac, survey the latest attempts to test the validity of the Pauli exclusion principle, and report on an initiative to establish a world-class research infrastructure in South-East Europe following the CERN model.
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The 9th International Particle Accelerator Conference (IPAC’18), will be held in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada from April 29 to May 4, 2018. The venue will be the brand new JW Marriott logo, Vancouver hotel which features overlooking views of False Creek, Granville Island, and English Bay. IPAC’18 is hosted by TRIUMF, Canada’s National Laboratory for Particle and Nuclear Physics, and is jointly sponsored by the NPSF (IEEE) and DPB (APS). Over 1200 delegates and 80 industry exhibits are expected to be in attendance.

IPAC is the main international event for the worldwide accelerator community and industry. Attendees will be presented with cutting-edge accelerator research and development results and gain the latest insights into accelerator facilities across the globe. Topical areas to be covered include:

- Circular and Linear Colliders
- Photon Sources and Electron Accelerators
- Novel Particle Sources & Acceleration Techniques
- Hadron Accelerators
- Beam Dynamics and EM Fields
- Beam Instrumentation, Controls, Feedback and Operational Aspects
- Accelerator Technology
- Applications of Accelerators, Technology Transfer and Industrial Relations.

The Scientific Program will include 55 invited talks and 40 contributed oral presentations. A new feature of IPAC, introduced on a trial basis, is a partial light peer review of a limited number of papers for publication in a volume of an Institute of Physics conference proceedings. Nevertheless, the imperative to publish high quality papers in Physical Review Accelerators and Beams continues unabated. The PACoW proceedings continue unaffected.

Key IPAC’18 organizers include: Shane Koscielniak (Conference Chair), for Raubenheimer (Scientific Program Chair) and two Local Organizing Committee co-chairs: Cornelia Haake and Marco Marchetto. Sadly, the original LOC Chair, Jozef Cracowicz, passed away unexpectedly on October 11, 2017. We must also acknowledge Todd Sagatag, the Scientific Secretary, and Janet Thomson, the Proceedings Editor.

The deadline for abstract submission has now passed. The community has responded with 2155 distinct abstracts submitted by authors from 300 institutions (labs, universities & industry) from 38 countries; with the USA having submitted 30% of the abstracts. The regional distribution is 25% from Asia, 39% from Europe, and 33% from Australia. This remarkable response ensures that IPAC’18 will be truly international. The “early bird” deadline for industry registration has just passed, with 74 of 82 booths sold.

Vancouver is a culturally diverse city familiar with welcoming visitors from all over the world. Nestled between the Salish Sea and the North Shore mountains, Vancouver is a portal for excursions to Whistler and Vancouver Island, as well as cruises to Alaska. The New York Times selected Canada as its number 1 destination to visit in their “52 Places to go in 2017”, and the Marriott logo was the only hotel in Canada to be listed in the Times’ “Hotels and Resorts to Travel to in 2017”. Vancouver is awash with high quality well priced restaurants and eateries to match every pocket, start your explorations in Yale Town and proceed across the city to Robson and Denman streets and beyond.

The Vancouver International Airport, rated North America’s best airport in 2014, 2016 and 2017, has been linked to downtown by an excellent rapid transit (the Canada Line) since the 2010 Olympic Games. The service is frequent, rapid, about ½ the cost of cab fare, and will deliver you to within walking distance of the Marriott logo hotel.

At IPAC’18, you will have the opportunity to meet and interact with accelerator scientists, engineers, students, and vendors while experiencing Canada’s most culturally and geographically diverse city. I encourage you to browse our web site, ipac18.org, to register as a conference delegate soon and to reserve your Marriott logo hotel room early to avoid disappointment.

We look forward to welcoming you to IPAC’18.

Shane Koscielniak, IPAC’18 Conference Chair.

By Herwig Schopper

In the autumn of 2016, at a meeting in Dubrovnik, Croatia, trustees of the World Academy of Art and Science discussed a proposal to create a large international research institute South-East Europe.

The facility would promote the development of science and technology and help mitigate tensions between countries in the region, following the CERN model of “science for peace”. A platform for internationally competitive research in South-East Europe would stimulate the education of young scientists, transfer and reverse the brain drain, and foster greater cooperation and mobility in the region.

The South-East Europe initiative received first official support by the government of Montenegro, independent of where the final location would be, thanks to the engagement of Montenegro science minister Sanja Damjanovic, who is also a physicist with a long tradition working at CERN.

On 25 October last year at a meeting at CERN, ministers of science or their representatives from countries in the region signed a Declaration of Intent (DOI) to establish a South-East Europe International Institute for Sustainable Technologies (SEEIIST) with the above objectives. The initial signatories were Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Kosovo, the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Montenegro, Serbia and Slovenia. Greece agreed in principle, while Greece participated as an observer. CERN’s role was to provide a neutral and inspirational venue for the meeting.

The signature of the DOI was followed by a scientific forum 25–26 January at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP) in Trieste, Italy, held under the auspices of UNESCO, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and the European Physical Society. The forum attracted more than 400 participants ranging from scientists and engineers at universities to representatives of industry, government agencies and international organisations including ESFRI and the European Commission. Its aim was to present two scientific options for SEEIIST: a fourth-generation x-ray light source that would offer users intense beams from infrared to X-ray wavelengths; and a state-of-the-art patient treatment facility for cancer using protons and heavy ions, also with a strong biomedical research programme. The concepts behind each proposal were worked out by two groups of international experts.

With SEEIIST’s overarching goal to be a world-class research infrastructure, the training of scientists, engineers and technicians is essential. Whichever project is selected, it will require several years of effort, during which people will be trained for the operation of the machines and user communities will also be formed. Capacity-building and technology-transfer activities will further trigger developments for the whole region, such as the development of powerful digital networks and big-data handling.

Reports and discussions from the ICTP forum provided an important basis for the next steps. Representatives of IAEA declared an interest in helping with the training programme, while European Union (EU) expressed strong interest and are looking favourably at the project – potentially providing resources to support the preparation of a detailed conceptual design and eventual concrete proposal.

The initiative is gathering momentum. On 30 January the first meeting of the SEEIIST steering committee, chaired initially by the Montenegro science minister, took place in Sofia, Bulgaria. Sofia was chosen at the invitation of Bulgaria since it currently holds the EU presidency, and the meeting was introduced by Bulgarian president Rumen Radev, who expressed strong interest in SEEIIST and promised to support the initiative. Officials have underlined that a decision between the two scientific options should be taken as soon as possible – a task that we are now working towards.

As the steering committee is the first organisation to be inspired by the CERN model. The European Southern Observatory, European Molecular Biology Laboratories and the recently operational SESAME facility in Jordan – a third-generation light source governed by a council made up of representatives from eight members in the Middle East and surrounding region – each demonstrate the power of fundamental scientific to advance knowledge and bring people and countries together.

Shaping science in South-East Europe

*This designation is without prejudice to positions on status and is in line with UNSC 1244/1999 and the ICJ opinion on the Kosovo Declaration of Independence.
Multi-messenger astronomy, neutrino physics and dark matter are among several topics in astroparticle physics set to take priority in Europe in the coming years, according to a report by the Astroparticle Physics European Consortium (APPEC).

The APPEC strategy for 2017-2020, launched at an event in Brussels on 9 January, is the culmination of two years of consultation with the astroparticle and related communities. It involved some 20 agencies in 16 countries and includes representation from the European Commission for Future Accelerators, CERN and the European Southern Observatory (ESO).

Lying at the intersection of astronomy, particle physics and cosmology, astroparticle physics is well placed to search for signs of physics beyond the standard models of particle physics and cosmology. As a relatively new field, however, European astroparticle physics does not have dedicated intergovernmental organisations such as CERN or ESO to help drive it. In 2001, European scientific agencies founded APPEC to promote cooperation and coordination, and specifically to formulate a strategy for the field.

Building on earlier strategies released in 2008 and 2011, APPEC’s latest roadmap presents 21 recommendations spanning scientific issues, organisational aspects and societal factors such as education and industry, helping Europe to exploit tantalising potential for new discoveries in the field.

The recent detection of gravitational waves from the merger of two neutron stars (CERN Courier December 2017 p6) opens a new line of exploration based on the complementary power of charged cosmic rays, electromagnetic waves, neutrinos and gravitational waves for the study of extreme events such as supernovae, black-hole mergers and the Big Bang itself. “We need to look at cross-fertilisation between these modes to maximise the investment in facilities,” says APPEC chair Antonio Masiero of the INFN and the University of Padova. “This is really going to become big.”

APPEC strongly supports Europe’s next-generation ground-based gravitational interferometer, the Einstein Telescope, and the space-based LISA detector. In the neutrino sector, KM3NeT is being completed and the space-based LISA detector. In the interferometer, the Einstein Telescope, next-generation ground-based gravitational

The APPEC report makes 21 recommendations for the astroparticle physics community, atmospheric neutrinos at its French site near Toulon. Europe is also heavily involved in the upgrade of the leading cosmic-ray facility the Pierre Auger Observatory in Argentina. Significant R&D work is taking place at CERN’s neutrino platform for the benefit of long- and short-baseline neutrino experiments in Japan and the US (CERN Courier July/August 2016 p21), and Europe is host to several important neutrino experiments. Among them are KATRIN in Germany, which is about to begin measurements of the neutrino absolute mass scale, and experiments searching for neutrinoless double-beta decay (NDBD) such as GERDA and CUORE at INFN’s Gran Sasso National Laboratory (CERN Courier December 2017 p8).

There are plans to join forces with experiments in the US to build the next generation of NDBD detectors. APPEC has a similar vision for dark matter, aiming to converge next year on plans for an “ultimate” 100-tonne scale detector based on xenon and argon via the DARWIN andargo projects. APPEC also supports ESA’s Focal mission, which will establish European leadership in dark-energy research, and encourages continued European participation in the US-led DES and LSST ground-based projects. Following from ESA’s successful Planck mission, APPEC strongly endorses a European-led satellite mission, such as COVE, to map the cosmic-microwave background and the consortium plans to enhance its interactions with its present observers ESO and CERN in areas of mutual interest.

It is important at this time to put together the human forces,” says Masiero. “APPEC will exercise influence in the European Strategy for Particle Physics, and has a significant role to play in the next European Commission Framework Project, FPs.”

A substantial investment is needed to build the next generation of astroparticle-physics research, the report concludes. According to Masiero, European agencies within APPEC currently invest around €80 million per year in astroparticle-related activities, in addition to funding large research infrastructures. A major effort in Europe is necessary for it to keep its leading position. “Many young people are drawn into science by challenges like dark matter and, together with Europe’s existing research infrastructures in the field, we have a high technological level and are pushing industries to develop new technologies,” continues Masiero. “There are great opportunities ahead in European astroparticle physics.”

● View the full report at www.appec.org.

Sommaire en français
L’Europe définit une stratégie pour l’astrophysique des particules 7
Des neutrinos rafraîchis avant d’être interrogés 8
L’effort devient Etat membre associé du CERN 9
Le LHIC se prépare pour la dernière année de la deuxième exploitation 9
Pluton fixe une anomalie d’une désintégration rare d’un hyperon 11
ATLAS mesure une production rare d’un quark et d’un bâton 11
En poursuite aux chaussées des répliques neutres lourds 11
ALICE enquête sur l’hydrodynamisation du quark 12
Les origines de la pierre d’hypatie remises en question 15
Un trou noir ancien jetée une nouvelle lumière sur l’Univers primordial 17

In conjunction with 25th International Symposium on Room-Temperature X-Ray & Gamma-Ray Detectors

email: nssmic2018@ieee.org  www.nss-mic.org/2018
Neutrons cooled for interrogation

Researchers at TRIUMF in Canada have reported the first production of ultracold neutrons (UCN), marking an important step towards a future neutrino oscillation dipole moment (neutrino EDM) experiment at the Vancouver laboratory. Precision measurements of the neutrino EDM are a sensitive probe of physics beyond the Standard Model. If a nonzero value were to be measured, it would suggest a new source of CP violation, possibly related to the baryon asymmetry of the universe.

The TUCAN collaboration (TRIUMF UltraCold Advanced Neutron source) aims to measure the EDM at a factor 50 better than the present best measurement, which has a precision of $3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}$ and is consistent with zero. For this to be possible, physicists need to provide the world’s highest density of ultracold neutrons. In 2019 a collaboration between Canada and Japan was established to realise such a facility and a prototype UCN source was shipped to Canada and installed at TRIUMF in early 2017.

The setup uses a unique combination of techniques including production of ultracold neutrons from superfluid helium UCN source that was pioneered in Japan. A tungsten block stops a beam of protons, producing a stream of fast neutrons that are then slowed in moderators and converted to ultracold neutrons (less than around 7 ms⁻¹) by phonon scattering in superfluid helium. The source is based on a non-thermal down-scattering process in superfluid helium. The source is based on the neutron optical potential for many levels competitive with other planned nEDMs worldwide. These include proposals at the Paul Scherrer Institute in Switzerland, Los Alamos National Laboratory in the US, the Institut Laue–Langevin in France and others in Germany and Russia. The neutron EDM is experiencing intense competition, with most projects focusing on producing the ultracold neutrons (CERN Courier September 2016 p27).

The nEDM experimental campaign at TRIUMF is scheduled to start in 2021. “The TRIUMF UCN source is the only one combining a spallation source of neutrons and a superfluid helium production volume, providing the project its uniqueness and competitive edge,” says team member Beatrice Franke.

LHC prepares for final year of Run 2

Since 4 December, around 500 technicians and engineers have been working flat-out to maintain the Collider Hadron Collider (LHC) and other parts of the CERN accelerator complex. The current year-end technical stop will last until 9 March, and preparations for the machinery is under way for the High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) to been a focus of activities.

Collimators are key to operating the HL-LHC, which will have roughly twice the stored energy (700 MJ) as the present machine. These devices control losses from the circulating proton beams so that they can be constrained to a small section of the machine’s circumference. Continual work undertaken during last year’s extended year-end stop (CERN Courier March 2017 p9), two new collimators are being installed at point 1 containing a wire that generates an electromagnetic field to compensate for long-range beam–beam effects.

Higher performing injectors that can provide increased bunch currents are another demand of the HL-LHC, and this aspect is being managed by the LHC Injector Upgrade (LIU) project (CERN Courier October 2017 p2). An upgraded kicker magnet, one of eight fast-pulsed magnets that inject particle beams coming from the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) into the LHC, will be installed at point 8. A special coating applied to the inner wall of the ceramic pipe of the magnet is one of several techniques developed to reduce the heating of components in the harsher HL-LHC environment.

While work steps up on the LHC, which has been temporarily emptied of its 120 tonnes of helium coolant, brand-new accelerator technology that will help the HL-LHC achieve its unprecedented luminosities is being prepared for tests in the SPS. Two prototype radiofrequency crab cavities – designed to tilt particle bunches before they collide to maximise the overlapping of the beams and increase the probability of collisions – have been installed for testing during 2018.

Rare hyperon-decay anomaly under the spotlight

The LHCb collaboration has shed light on a long-standing anomaly in the very rare hyperon decay $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu \mu$ first observed in 2005 by FermiLab’s HyperCP experiment. The HyperCP team found that the branching fraction for this process is consistent with Standard Model (SM) predictions, but that the three signal events observed exhibited an interesting feature: all muon pairs had invariant masses very close to each other, instead of following a scattered distribution.

This suggested the existence of a new light particle, $X$, with a mass of about 214 MeV/c², which would be produced in the $\Sigma^+$ decay along with the proton and would decay very quickly to two muons. Although this particle has been long sought in various other decays and at several experiments, new experiments suggested, the background-subtracted distribution of the invariant mass.

The invariant mass distribution of $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^- \nu \mu$ candidates and, in the inset, the background-subtracted distribution of the dimuon invariant mass.
no experiment other than HyperCP has so far been able to perform searches using the same \(\Sigma\) decay mode. The large rate of hyperon production in proton–proton collisions at the LHC has recently allowed the LHCb collaboration to search for the \(\Sigma^-\rightarrow\mu^-\nu\) decay. Given the modest transverse momentum of the final-state particles, the probability that such a decay is able to pass the LHCb trigger requirements is very small. Consequently, events where the trigger is met by a single particle produced in one of the collisions other than those in the decay under study are also employed. This search was performed using the full Run 1 dataset, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3 fb\(^{-1}\) and about 10\(^6\) \(\Sigma^-\) hyperons. An excess of about 13 signal events is found with respect to the background-only expectation, with a significance of four standard deviations. The dimuon invariant-mass distribution of the events was examined and found to be consistent with the SM expectation, with no evidence of a cluster above 214 MeV/c\(^2\). The signal yield was converted to a branching fraction of \((2.1\pm1.0)^{+0.9}_{-0.6}\) \times 10\(^{-9}\) using the known \(\Sigma^-\rightarrow\mu^-\nu\) decay as a normalisation channel, in excellent agreement with the SM prediction. When restricting the sample explicitly to the case of a decay with the putative \(X^+\) particle as an intermediate state, no excess was found. This sets an upper limit on the branching fraction at 9.5 \times 10\(^{-9}\) at 90% CL, to be compared with the HyperCP result \((3.8\pm1.2)^{+1.5}_{-1.2}\) \times 10\(^{-9}\). This result, together with the recent search for the rare decay \(K^-\rightarrow\mu^-\nu\), shows the potential of LHCb in performing challenging measurements with strange hadrons. As with a number of results in other areas reported recently, LHCb is demonstrating in power not only as a physics experiment but as a general-purpose one in the forward region. With current data, and in particular with the upgraded detector thanks to the software trigger from Run 3 onwards, LHCb will be the dominant experiment for the study of both hyperons and \(K^0\) mesons, exploiting their rare decays to provide a new perspective in the quest for physics beyond the SM.

**Further reading**
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CMS hunts for heavy neutral leptons

The quest to search for new physics inspires searches in CMS for very rare processes, which, if discovered, could open the door to a new understanding of particle physics. One such process is the production and decay of heavy sterile Majorana neutrinos, a type of heavy neutral lepton (HNL) introduced to describe the very small neutrino masses via the so-called seesaw mechanism. Two further fundamental puzzles of particle physics can be solved by adding these HNLs to the Standard Model (SM) particle spectrum: the lightest (with a mass of a few keV) can serve as a dark-matter

---

ATLAS experiments

Measuring the production of the top quark and a Z boson in association with a W boson (WZ) has been searched for and observed in the past. ATLAS has recently demonstrated its power to probe the decays. This search was performed using the full Run 3 dataset, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb\(^{-1}\) and about 10\(^14\) prompt \(N\) decays. An excess of about 13 signal events is found with respect to the background-only expectation, with a significance of about 4.2 standard deviations. The dimuon invariant-mass distribution of these events was condensed into one hundredth lower.

---

**Further reading**


Measuring the production of the top quark and a Z boson in association with a W boson (WZ) has been searched for and observed in the past. ATLAS has recently demonstrated its power to probe the decays. This search was performed using the full Run 3 dataset, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb\(^{-1}\) and about 10\(^14\) prompt \(N\) decays. An excess of about 13 signal events is found with respect to the background-only expectation, with a significance of about 4.2 standard deviations. The dimuon invariant-mass distribution of these events was condensed into one hundredth lower.

---

**Further reading**

ALICE investigates charm-quark hadronisation

In two publications submitted to the Journal of High Energy Physics and Physics Letters B in December, the ALICE collaboration reports new production cross-section measurements of the charmed baryons \( \Lambda_c \) and \( \Xi_c \) in proton–proton collisions at an energy of 7 TeV and in proton–lead collisions at a collision energy of 5.02 TeV per nucleon–nucleon pair. The \( \Lambda_c \) were reconstructed in the hadronic decay modes \( \Lambda_c \rightarrow pK^+\pi^- \) and \( \Lambda_c \rightarrow pK^+K^- \), and in the semileptonic channel \( \Lambda_c \rightarrow e^+\nu\Lambda_c \) (and charge conjugates). For these two analyses, the semi leptonic channel \( \Xi_c \rightarrow e^+\nu\Xi_c \) was used.

The comparison of charm baryon and meson cross sections provides information on c-quark hadronisation. Surprisingly, the measured values of the \( \Lambda_c/\Xi_c \) baryon-to-meson ratio were significantly larger than those previously measured in other experiments in collisions involving electron beams at different centre-of-mass energies, rapidity and pp intervals. The results (see figure, left) are compared with expectations obtained from Monte Carlo event generators. None of the models reproduce the data, indicating that the fragmentation of charm quarks is not well understood. A similar pattern is seen when comparing the \( \Xi_c/\Lambda_c \) baryon-to-meson ratio with predicted values (see figure, right), where the latter have a sizable uncertainty due to the unknown branching ratio of the decay. These two results suggest that charmed baryon formation might not be universal, and that the baryon/meson ratio depends on the collision system. Hints of non-universality of the fragmentation functions are also seen when comparing beauty-baryon production measurements at the Tevatron and LHC with those at LEP. The ratios measured in pp collisions are similar to the result in pPb collisions.

The statistical precision of the \( \Lambda_c \) and \( \Xi_c \) measurements is expected to be improved with data collected during the LHC Run 2, and with data from Run 3 and Run 4 following a major upgrade of the ALICE apparatus. This set of measurements also provides a reference for future investigation of \( \Lambda_c \) and \( \Xi_c \) production in lead–lead collisions, where the formation and hadronic properties of charm baryons are expected to be affected by the presence of the quark–gluon plasma.

Further reading

News

candidate: the two heavier ones (heavier than about a GeV/\( c^2 \)) could, when mass-degenerate, be responsible for a sizable amount of CP violation and thus help explain the cosmological matter–antimatter asymmetry. Their mixing with the SM neutrinos (see figure, left), the heavier HNL could decay to another W boson and a lepton, leading to a signal containing three isolated leptons. Depending on how weakly the new particles couple to the neutrinos, characterised by the parameters \( |V_{eN}|^2 \), \( |V_{\tau N}|^2 \), \( |V_{\nu L}|^2 \), and \( |V_{\nu R}|^2 \), they can either decay shortly after production, or after flying some distance in the detector. A new search performed with data collected in 2016 by CMS focuses on prompt trilepton (electrons or muons) signatures of HNL production. It explores a mass range from 1 GeV to 1.2 TeV, more than doubling the scope of LHC results so far. It also probes a mass regime that was unexplored since the days of the Large Electron-Positron collider (LEP), indicating that eventually the LHC will supersede these results with more data.

The trilepton final state does not lead to a sharp peak in an invariant mass spectrum, and therefore the search has to employ various kinematic properties of the events to be able to detect a possible presence of HNLs. To be sensitive to very low HNL masses, the search uses soft muons (with \( p_T > 5 \text{ GeV} \)) and electrons (\( p_T > 10 \text{ GeV} \)). While no signals of HNL have been found so far (see figure right), the constraints on \( |V_{eN}|^2 \) (\( |V_{\nu L}|^2 \) is similar) in the high-mass region are the strongest to date. In the low mass region, the analysis has comparable sensitivity to previous searches.

Using dedicated analysis techniques, it is foreseen to extend this search to explore the parameter space where HNLs have longer lifetimes and so travel large distances in the detector before they decay. Together with more data this will enable CMS to significantly improve the sensitivity at low masses and eventually probe unexplored territory in this important region of HNL parameter space.

Further reading

Dark side
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### Recipes for DNA assembly

DNA can be designed to assemble into specific shapes, but the range of possibilities has been limited—until now. A clutch of papers published in the same issue of Nature describe several approaches to making very large structures. Lulu Qian and colleagues at Caltech used simple assembly rules recursively to create 2D arrays with areas up to 5 cm², while Peng Yin and team at Harvard University used DNA “bricks” to make 3D nanostructures of more than 10,000 components. Hendrik Dietz and colleagues at the Technical University of Munich, meanwhile, show that large objects can be efficiently assembled in a multi-stage process using DNA building blocks with optimised shape and interaction patterns, also demonstrating a scalable, cost-efficient method for making the required DNA strands. The results make it clear that DNA can be designed to assemble into arbitrary shapes, opening up the way to new applications in everything from 3D printing to drug delivery.

### Equivalence principle in space

The weak equivalence principle, a cornerstone of general relativity, says that all bodies should fall at the same rate. Recent results from the MICROSCOPE satellite, launched in April 2016 and operated by the French space centre CNES, show that this key physics principle holds to unprecedented precision. The experiment measured the force needed to keep two similar floating test masses made of different alloys in the same orbit as the satellite completed more than 1500 orbits. No deviation from the equivalence principle was found up to the level of one part in 10¹⁰, strongly constraining theories containing very weakly coupled particles.

### Sound from water to air

Normally, it’s all but impossible to hear underwater sounds from above the water surface due to reflection at the interface. By placing a novel metamaterial in contact with the surface, however, Sam Lee of Yonsei University in Seoul and colleagues show that the structure enhances sound by a factor of 160 and allows 30% of the sound energy to pass through. The metamaterial is a cylindrical shell with a thin plastic membrane divided into segments and with a mesh in the centre, and was designed to respond to sound with secondary waves that interfere destructively, boosting transmission. Possible applications range from better underwater microphones to non-contact ultrasonic imaging.

---

### Origin of Hypatia stone questioned

The Hypatia stone, found in western Egypt in 1996, is believed to have formed about 28 million years ago in a meteoric impact. In 2013 it was confirmed to be of extraterrestrial origin and possibly the first sample of a comet nucleus. Now Georgy Belyanin of the University of Johannesburg in South Africa and colleagues have found compounds including polyaromatic hydrocarbons and silicon carbide associated with a nickel phosphide compound not found in the solar system before. Other facts supporting the other-worldly origin of the stone include ratios of silicon to carbon opposite to those of the Earth, Mars, or Venus, but consistent with interstellar dust, and mineral grains that contain phosphorous and metallic elements not in the ratios expected. The Hypatia stone challenges the generally accepted view of how the solar system was formed and the study of its history continues.

### Supercool water

Water’s complicated phase diagram may need to be updated. Kyung Hwan Kim of Stockholm University and colleagues used femtosecond X-ray laser pulses to probe micrometre-sized water droplets supercooled in a vacuum. Measuring the diameter of the drops as they evaporate and cool, the team found evidence for a supercooled liquid phase at 229 K for water and 233 K for heavy water (consistent with a “Widom line” separating the two liquid phases). Remarkably, water can remain liquid down to –42.55°C.

---

### Further reading

- Nature 552: 72; P Pratoussevitch et al. 2017
- Science 358: 1075.
- Science 358: 231101.
Ancient black hole lights up early universe

Many questions remain about what happened in the first billion years of the universe. At around 100 million years old, the universe was a dark place consisting of mostly neutral hydrogen without many objects emitting detectable radiation. This situation changed as stars and galaxies formed, leading to a phase transition known as reionisation where the neutral hydrogen was ionised. Exactly when reionisation started and how long it took is still not fully clear, but a recent discovery of the oldest massive black hole ever found can help answer this important question.

Up to about 300,000 years after the Big Bang, the universe was hot and dense, and electrons and protons were fully separated. As the universe started to expand, it cooled down and underwent a first phase transition where electrons and protons formed neutral gases such as hydrogen. The following period is known as the cosmic dark ages. During this period, protons and electrons were mostly combined into neutral hydrogen, but the universe had to cool much further before matter could condense to the level where light-producing objects such as stars could form. These new objects started to emit both the radiation we can now detect to study the early universe and also the radiation responsible for the last phase transition – the reionisation of the universe. Some of the brightest and therefore easiest-to-detect objects are quasars: massive black holes surrounded by discs of hot accreting matter that emit radiation over a wide but distinctive spectrum.

Using data from a range of large-area surveys by different telescopes, a group led by Eduardo Bañados from the Carnegie Institution for Science has discovered a distant quasar called J1342+0928, with the black hole at its centre found to be eight million solar masses. After the radiation was emitted by J1342+0928, it travelled through the expanding universe, increasing its wavelength or “red shifting” in proportion to its travel time. Using known spectral features of quasars, the redshift (and therefore the moment at which the radiation was emitted) can be calculated.

The spectrum of J1342+0928, shown in the figure, demonstrates that the universe was only 690 million years old – just 5% of its current age – at the time we see J1342+0928. The spectrum also shows a second interesting feature: the absorption of a part of the spectrum by neutral hydrogen, which implies that at the time we are observing the black hole, the universe was not fully ionised yet. By modelling the emission and absorption, Bañados and co-workers found that the spectrum from J1342+0928 is compatible with emission in a universe where half the hydrogen was ionised, putting the time of emission right in the middle of the epoch of reionisation.

The next mystery is to explain how a black hole weighing eight million solar masses could form so early in the universe. Black holes grow they accrete mass surrounding them, but the accreting mass radiates and this radiation pushes other accreting mass away from the black hole. As a result, there is a theoretical limit on the amount of matter a black hole can accrete. Forming a black hole the size of J1342+0928 with such accretion limits would require black holes in the very early universe with sizes that challenge current theoretical models. One possible explanation, however, is that this particular black hole is a peculiar case and was formed by a merger of several smaller black holes.

Thanks to continuous data taking from a range of existing telescopes and upcoming new instrumentation, we can expect more objects like J1342+0928 or even older to be discovered, offering a probe of the universe at even earlier stages. The discovery of further objects would allow a more exact date for the period of reionisation, which can be compared with indirect measurements coming from the cosmic microwave background. At the same time, more measurements will show if black holes of this size in the early universe are just an anomaly or if there are more. In either case, such observations would provide important input for research on early black hole formation.

Further reading

Picture of the month
This small planetary nebula, NGC 7027, was first spotted in 1878, only 450 years after the nebula first started expanding. The name “planetary nebula” is a misnomer, however. It dates back to William Herschel, who classified these objects based on their rounded planet-like shape. We now know that planetary nebulae are not related to planets but are instead created as massive stars come towards the end of their lives and eject large amounts of gas due to the high radiation pressure from the dying star. NGC 7027 consists of a neutral gas cloud surrounding an elliptical inner cloud of ionised gas known to emit X-rays. The high temperature of the inner cloud needed to emit X-rays is thought to be a result of an accretion disc surrounding the star in the centre, which is now a white dwarf.
When deciding on the shape of a particle accelerator, physicists face a simple choice: a ring of some sort, or a straight line? This is about more than aesthetics, of course. It depends on which application the accelerator is to be used for: high-energy physics, advanced light sources, medical or numerous others.

Linear accelerators (linacs) can have denser bunches than their circular counterparts. We can offer consultation in various areas such as beam loss monitors, emittance measurements, propagation and monitoring beam halo, absolute beam current measurements, non-invasive beam profile monitors, as well as very high resolution OTR and CTR.

We also have experience with silicon photomultiplier technology and depending on the parameters of light you would like to measure, can provide assistance with their usage and specific application for your project.

Self-mixing Sensor

We offer a compact laser diode-based sensor for detecting small displacements and measuring vibrations with an accuracy of better than 300 nm. This easy-to-use sensor can be readily used for many purposes such as cryogenic chamber vibration detection, referencing the displacement of wires or translational stages for diagnostics as well as velocity measurements of gas jets and fluid targets. Our sensors provide a reliable, low-cost and robust solution that can be used in even the harshest environments.

Consultancy

At D-Beam Ltd, we have many years of design and development expertise of various diagnostics in the field of particle accelerators, with a focus on the medical sector and beam instrumentation.

We provide the expertise regarding different sensors and methods for measurements of various beam properties. We can offer consultation in various areas such as beam loss monitors, emittance measurements, propagation and monitoring beam halo, absolute beam current measurements, non-invasive beam profile monitors, as well as very high resolution OTR and CTR.

We also have experience with silicon photomultiplier technology and depending on the parameters of light you would like to measure, can provide assistance with their usage and specific application for your project.

Machine Protection and Optimization

We offer unique beam loss monitors (BLMs) based on fiber optics. In comparison to commonly used BLMs these can gather information from the entire beam line, rather than just a single point. Our systems provide a resolution of around 10 cm, which can accurately determine losses. Our systems are completely insensitive to magnetic fields and neutron radiation. They can also be used for efficient RF cavity characterization.

The main linac cryomodule for the Cornell-Brookhaven CBETA facility being moved into place in February 2017.

Small accelerator promises big returns

Under construction in the US, the CBETA multi-turn energy-recovery linac will pave the way for accelerators that combine the best of linear and circular machines.

When deciding on the shape of a particle accelerator, physicists face a simple choice: a ring of some sort, or a straight line? This is about more than aesthetics, of course. It depends on which application the accelerator is to be used for: high-energy physics, advanced light sources, medical or numerous others.

Linear accelerators (linacs) can have denser bunches than their circular counterparts, and are widely used for research. However, for both high-energy physics collider experiments and light sources, linacs can be exceedingly power-hungry because the beam is essentially discarded after each use. This forces linacs to operate at an extremely low current compared to ring accelerators, which in turn limits the data rate (or luminosity) delivered to an experiment. On the other hand, in a collider ring there is a limit to the focusing of the bunches at an interaction point as each bunch has to survive the potentially disruptive collision process on each of millions of turns. Bunches from a linac have to collide only once and can therefore be focused to aggressively collide at a higher luminosity.

Linacs could outperform circular machines for light-source and collider applications, but only if they can be operated with higher currents by not discarding the energy of the spent beam. Energy-recovery linacs (ERLs) fill this need for a new accelerator type with both linac-quality bunches and the large currents more typical of circular accelerators. By recovering the energy of the spent beam through deceleration in superconducting radio-frequency (SRF) cavities, ERLs can recycle that energy to accelerate new bunches, combining the dense beam of a linear accelerator with the high current of a storage ring to achieve significant RF power savings.

A new facility called CBETA (Cornell-Brookhaven ERL Test Accelerator) that combines some of the best traits of linear and circular accelerators has recently entered construction at Cornell University in the US. To become the world’s first multi-turn SRF ERL, with a footprint of about 25 x 15 m, CBETA is designed to accelerate an electron beam to an energy of 150 MeV. As an additional innovation, this four-turn ERL relies on only one return loop for its four beam energies, using a single so-called
fixed-field alternating gradient return loop that can accommodate a large range of different electron energies. To further save energy, this single return loop is constructed from permanent Halbach magnets (an arrangement of permanent magnets that augments the magnetic field on the beam side while cancelling the field on the outside).

Initially, CBETA is being built to test the SRF ERL and the single-return-loop concept of permanent magnets for a proposed future electron-ion collider (EIC). Thereafter, CBETA will provide beam for applications such as Compton-backscattered hard X-rays and dark-photon searches. This future ERL technology could be an immensely important tool for researchers who rely on the luminosity of colliders as well as for those that use synchrotron radiation at light sources. ERLs are envisioned for nuclear and elementary particle-physics colliders, as in the proposed eRHIC and LHeC projects, but are also proposed for basic-research coherent X-ray sources, medical applications and industry, for example in lithography sources for the production of yet smaller chips.

The first multi-turn SRF ERL

The theoretical concept of ERLs was introduced long before a functional device could be realised. With the introduction of the CBETA accelerator, scientists are following up on a concept first introduced by physicist Maury Tigges at Cornell in 1965. Similarly, non-scaling fixed-field alternating-gradient optics for beams of largely varying energies were introduced decades ago and will be implemented in an operational accelerator for only the second time with CBETA, after a proof-of-principle test at the EMMA facility at Daresbury Laboratory in the UK, which was commissioned in 2010.

The key behind the CBETA design is to circulate the beam four times through the SRF cavities, allowing electrons to be accelerated to four very different energies. The beam with the highest energy (150 MeV) will be used for experiments, before being decelerated in the same cavities four times. During deceleration, energy is taken out of the electron beam and is transferred to electromagnetic fields in the cavities, where the recovered energy is then used to accelerate new particles. Reusing the same cavities multiple times significantly reduces the construction and operational costs, and also the overall size of the accelerator.

The energy-saving potential of the CBETA technology cannot be understated, and is a large consideration for the project’s funding agency the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority. By incrementally increasing the energy of the beam through multiple passes in the accelerator section, CBETA can achieve a high-energy beam without a high initial energy at injection – characteristics more commonly found in storage rings. CBETA’s use of permanent magnets provides further energy savings. The precise energy savings from CBETA are difficult to estimate at this stage, but the machine is expected to require about a factor of 20 less RF power than a traditional linac. This saving factor would be even larger for future ERLs with higher beam energy. SRF linacs have been operated in ERL mode before, for example at Jefferson Lab’s infrared free-electron laser, where a single-pass energy recovery has claimed nearly all of the electron’s energy. CBETA will be the first SRF ERL with more than one turn and a high initial energy at injection – characteristics more commonly found in storage rings. CBETA’s use of permanent magnets provides further energy savings. The precise energy savings from CBETA are difficult to estimate at this stage, but the machine is expected to require about a factor of 20 less RF power than a traditional linac. This saving factor would be even larger for future ERLs with higher beam energy.

The energy-saving potential of the CBETA technology cannot be understated.

The measured cross-section of the first beam accelerated by the main linac cryomodule captured on a beam screen in May 2017.

CBETA principal investigator Georg Hoffstaetter and Cornell University president Martha Pollack in front of the main linac cryomodule installed for RF testing.
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The energy-saving potential of CBETA technology cannot be understated.
Complementary development work has been ongoing at BNL, and last summer the BNL team successfully tested a fixed-field alternating-gradient beam transport line at the Accelerator Test Facility. It uses lightweight, 3D-printed frames to hold blocks of permanent magnets and uses the above-mentioned innovative method for fine-tuning the magnetic field to steer multiple beams at different energies through a single beam pipe. With this design, physicists can accelerate particles through multiple stages to higher and higher energies within a single ring of magnets, instead of requiring more than one ring to achieve these energies. The beams reached a top momentum that was more than 3.8 times that of the lowest transferred momentum, which is to be compared to the previous result in EMMA, where the highest momentum was less than twice that of the lowest one. The properties of the permanent Halbach magnets match or even surpass those of electromagnets, which require much more precise engineering and machining to create each individual piece of metal. The success of this proof-of-concept experiment reinforces the CBETA design choices.

The initial mission for CBETA is to prototype components for BNL’s proposed version of an EIC called eRHIC, which would be built using the existing Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider infrastructure at BNL. JLAB also has a design for an EIC, which requires an ERL for its electron cooler and therefore also benefits from research at CBETA. Currently, the National Academy of Sciences is studying the scientific potential of an EIC. More than 25 scientists, engineers and technicians are collaborating on CBETA and they are currently running preliminary beam tests, with the expectation of completing CBETA installation by the summer of 2019. Then we will test and complete CBETA commissioning by the spring of 2020, and begin to explore the scientific applications of this new acceleration and energy-saving technique.

Further reading

Résumé
Petit accélérateur, grandes perspectives

Une nouvelle machine, appelée Cornell-Brookhaven ERL Test Accelerator (CBETA), est en cours de construction aux États-Unis. Cette machine, d’une empreinte d’environ 25 × 15 m, sera le premier linac à récupération d’énergie (ERL) supraconducteur, et accélérera un faisceau d’électrons pour le porter à 150 MeV. Les ERL combinent certains avantages d’un accélérateur linéaire avec ceux d’un accélérateur circulaire. Le CBETA permettra initialement de produire des éléments prototypes pour un futur collisionneur électron-ION. La machine fait l’objet actuellement de tests de faisceau préliminaires ; l’installation devrait être terminée d’ici à l’été 2019.

Georg Hoffstaetter and Rick Ryan, Cornell University.
The enigma of why the universe contains more matter than antimatter has been with us for more than half a century. While charge–parity (CP) violation can, in principle, account for the existence of such an imbalance, the observed matter excess is about nine orders of magnitude larger than what is expected from known CP-violating sources within the Standard Model (SM). This striking discrepancy inspires searches for additional mechanisms for the universe's baryon asymmetry, among which are experiments that test fundamental charge–parity–time (CPT) invariance by comparing matter and antimatter with great precision. Any measured difference between the two would constitute a dramatic sign of new physics. Moreover, experiments with antimatter systems provide unique tests of hypothetical processes beyond the SM that cannot be uncovered with ordinary matter systems.

The Baryon Antibaryon Symmetry Experiment (BASE) at CERN, in addition to several other collaborations at the Antiproton Decelerator (AD), probes the universe through exclusive antimatter “microscopes” with ever higher resolution. In 2017, following many years of effort at CERN and the University of Mainz in Germany, the BASE team measured the magnetic moment of the antiproton with a precision 350 times better than by any other experiment before, reaching a relative precision of 1.5 parts per billion (figure 1). The result followed the development of a multi-Penning-trap system and a novel two-particle measurement method and, for a short period, represented the first time that antimatter had been measured more precisely than matter.

Non-destructive physics
The BASE result relies on a quantum measurement scheme to observe spin transitions of a single antiproton in a non-destructive manner. In experimental physics, non-destructive observations of quantum effects are usually accompanied by a tremendous increase in measurement precision. For example, the non-destructive observation of electronic transitions in atoms or ions led to the development of optical frequency standards that achieve fractional precisions on the 10⁻¹⁸ level. Another example, allowing one of the most precise tests of CPT invariance to date, is the comparison of the electron and positron g-factors. Based on quantum non-demolition detection of the spin state, such studies during the 1980s reached a fractional accuracy on the parts-per-trillion level. The latest BASE measurement follows the same scheme but targets the magnetic moment of protons and antiprotons instead of electrons and positrons. This opens tests of CPT in a totally different particle system, which could behave entirely differently. In practice, however, the transfer of quantum measurement methods from the electron/positron to the proton/antiproton system constitutes a considerable challenge owing to the non-destructive nature of the measurements.
smaller magnetic moments and higher masses involved.

The idea is to store single particles in ultra-stable, high-precision Penning traps, where they oscillate at characteristic frequencies. By measuring those frequencies, we can access the cyclotron frequency, $\nu_c$, which defines the particle’s revolutions per second in the trap’s magnetic field. Together with a measurement of the spin precession frequency $\nu_s$, the g-factor can be extracted from the relation $\nu_s = \frac{eB}{m}\nu_c$. To determine $\nu_s$, we use a technique called image-current detection. The oscillation of the antiproton in the trap induces tiny image currents in the trap electrodes, which are picked up by highly sensitive superconducting thin-film circuits.

The measurement of $\nu_s$, on the other hand, relies on single-particle spin-transition spectroscopy – comparable to performing NMR with a single antiproton. The idea is to switch the spin of the individual antiproton from one state to the other and then detect the flip. To this end a smart trick is used: the continuous Stern–Gerlach effect, which imprintss the collapsed spin state of the single antiproton on its axial oscillation frequency (a parameter that can be measured non-destructively). We use a special Penning trap configuration in which an inhomogeneous magnetic bottle is superimposed on the homogeneous magnetic field of the ideal Penning trap (figure 2, top). The inhomogeneous field adds a spin-dependent quadratic magnetic potential to the axial electrostatic trapping potential and, consequently, the continuously measured axial oscillation frequency of the trapped antiproton becomes a function of the spin eigenstate.

In practice, to detect spin quantum transitions we first measure the axial frequency, then inject a magnetic radio-frequency to drive spin transitions, and finally measure the axial frequency again. The observation of an axial frequency jump corresponds to the clear signature that a spin-transition was driven, and by repeating such measurements many times and for different drive frequencies, we obtain the spin-flip probability as a function of the drive frequency. The corresponding resonance curve gives $\nu_s$ (figure 2, bottom).

**Doubling up**

This challenge has become the passion of the members of the BASE collaboration for the past decade. A trap was developed at Mainz with a superimposed magnetic inhomogeneity of 300,000 T/m², which corresponds to a magnetic field change of about 1 T over a distance of about 1.5 mm! In this extreme magnetic environment, a proton/antiproton spin transition induces an axial frequency shift of only 170 mHz when driven at a frequency of around 560 kHz.

Using this unique device, in 2011 we reported the first observation of spin flips with a single trapped proton. This was followed by an unambiguous quantum non-demolition detection of proton spin-transitions, which was later also demonstrated with antiprotons (figure 3). The high-sensitivity detection of the spin state, however, requires the particle to be cooled to temperatures of the order of 100 mK. This was achieved by sub-thermal cooling of the particle’s cyclotron mode by means of cryogenic resistors, but is an inconceivably time-consuming procedure.

The high-sensitivity detection of single-spin quantum transitions is the key to measuring the antiproton magnetic moment at the parts-per-billion level. The elegant double-trap technique that makes this possible was invented at Mainz and applied with great success in tests of bound-state quantum electrodynamics, in collaboration with GSI Darmstadt and the Max Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics in Heidelberg, Germany, both institutes also being part of the BASE collaboration. This double Penning-trap technology separates the sensitive frequency measurements of $\nu_c$ and $\nu_s$ and the spin analysis measurements into two traps: a homogeneous “precision trap” (PT) and the spin state “analysis trap” (AT) with the superimposed strong magnetic bottle. The magnetic field in the PT is about 100,000 times more homogeneous than that of the AT and allows sampling of the spin-flip resonance at much higher resolution, compared to measurements solely carried out in the inhomogeneous AT. The single-particle “double-trap method”, however, comes with the drawback that each frequency measurement in the PT heats the particle’s radial mode to about room-temperature and requires repeated particle preparation to sub-thermal radial energy, a condition that is ultimately required for the high-sensitivity detection of spin transitions. Each of these sub-thermal-energy preparation cycles takes several hours, while a well resolved g-factor resonance contains at least 400 individual data points. We applied this method at BASE to measure the proton magnetic moment with parts-per-billion precision in a measurement campaign that took including systematic studies and maintenance of the instrument, about half a year (CERN Courier March 2017 p7).

To reduce the total measurement time, we invented the novel two-particle method in which the precision frequency measurements and the high-sensitivity spin-state analysis are carried out using two particles: a hot “cyclotron particle” and a cold “Larmor particle”. In addition to adding a third trap called the “park trap” (figure 4), we first identify the spin state of the cold antiproton in the AT. Then we measure the cyclotron frequency with the hot particle in the PT, move this particle to the park trap and transport the cold antiproton to the PT, where spin-flip drives are irradiated. Afterwards, the cold particle is shuttled back to the AT and the hot particle to the PT. There, the cyclotron frequency is measured again, and in a last step the spin state of the cold particle in the AT is identified. By repeating this scheme many times and for different drive frequencies, the spin-flip probability as a function of the spin-flip drive frequency, normalized to the measured cyclotron frequency, is obtained – with all the required frequency information sampled in the homogeneous PT. This novel two-particle scheme drastically reduces the measurement time, since it avoids the time-consuming preparation of sub-thermal radial energy-states.

Successfully implementing this new method, we were able to sample about 1000 data points over a period of just two months. From this campaign we extracted the antiproton magnetic moment as $\mu_p = -2.792 847 344 (4) \times 10^{-7}$, the value having a fractional precision of 1.5 parts per billion and thereby improving the previous best value by BASE by a factor of 350. The result is consistent with our most precise measurement of the proton magnetic moment, $\mu_p = -2.792 847 350 (9) \times 10^{-7}$, and thus supports CPT invariance.

**Trappings of success**

Underpinning this rapid achievement of the initially defined major experimental goal of the BASE collaboration was another BASE invention called the “spin-flip” (SF) trap method. This SF trap, having one of four traps in the BASE trap-stack, is loaded with a shot of antiprotons and provides single particles to the precision measurement traps on request. The method allows BASE to operate antiproton experiments even during the winter shut-down of CERN’s accelerators and practically doubles the available experiment time. Indeed, we have demonstrated antiproton trapping and experiment optimization for a period of more than 400 days and operated the entire 2016 run with antiprotons captured in 2015. This long storage time also allows us to set limits on directly measured antiproton lifetime. Together with the proton-to-antiproton charge-mass ratio comparison with a fractional precision of 69 parts in a trillion (CERN Courier September 2015 p7), which was carried out during the 2014 antiproton run, BASE has set tighter constraints on all the fundamental antiproton parameters that are directly accessible by this type of experiment. So far, all the BASE results are consistent with CPT invariance.

The latest triple-trap measurement of the antiproton magnetic moment sets new constraints on CPT violating coefficients in the Standard Model extension (SME) – an effective theory that allows the sensitivities of different experiments at different locations to be compared with respect to CPT violation. The recent BASE magnetic-moment measurement addresses a total of six combinations of SME coefficients and improves the limits on all of them by more than two orders of magnitude. Finding a non-zero coefficient would, for example, indicate the discovery of a new type of exchange boson that couples exclusively to antimatter and immediately raise the
question of its role in the universal baryon asymmetry. Although up to now all results are CPT-consistent, this not-yet-understood asymmetry is one of the motivations to further improve the experimental resolution of the AD experiments. The recent successes reported by the ALPHA collaboration herald the first ultra-high-precision measurements on the optical spectrum of antihydrogen (see p30). Improved methods in measurements on antiprotonic helium by the ASACUSA collaboration will lead to even higher resolution results in comparisons of the antiproton-to-electron mass ratio, while the ATRAP collaboration continues to contribute independent measurements of antiprotons and antihydrogen.

Gravitational sensitivity
A new branch of experiments at CERN’s AD, AEgIS, GBAR and ALPHA-g, will soon investigate the gravitational acceleration of antimatter in Earth’s gravitational field – which has never been directly observed before. Indirect measurements were carried out with antiprotons by the TRAP collaboration at the AD’s predecessor, LEAR, and by BASE, which set constrains on antigravity effects. The AD community aims to verify the laws of physics with antimatter in various ways, thereby testing fundamental CPT invariance. The experiments are striving to access yet unmeasured quantities, or to improve their sensitivities to new physics. In this respect, the BASE–Mainz experiment succeeded recently in measuring the proton magnetic moment at an 11-fold improved precision, reaching a fractional uncertainty of 0.3 parts per billion. By applying these even further advanced methods to the antiproton, BASE will improve the sensitivity of the CPT invariance test by at least another factor of five.

Further reading
A. Mose et al. 2014 Nature 509 596.
G. Schneider 2017 Science 358 1081.
S. Ulmer et al. 2015 Nature 524 196.

Résumé
Un moment très précis
L’expérience BASE (expérience sur la symétrie baryon-antibaryon), située au CERN, a mesuré le moment magnétique de l’antiproton avec une précision extraordinaire, contribuant à l’étude de la symétrie charge-parité-temps. En 2017, après des années d’efforts, l’équipe de BASE a mesuré le moment magnétique de l’antiproton avec une précision 350 fois supérieure à celle obtenue par les expériences précédentes, atteignant une précision relative de 0.3 milliardème.
Illuminating antimatter

Antihydrogen’s spectral structure revealed

Following 30 years of effort by the low-energy antimatter community at CERN, the ALPHA collaboration has made seminal measurements of antihydrogen’s spectral structure in a bid to test nature’s fundamental symmetries.

The physics programme at CERN’s Antiproton Decelerator (AD) is concerned with fundamental studies of the properties and behaviour of antimatter. Diverse experiments endeavour to study the basic characteristics of the antiproton (BASE, ATRAP), the spectra of antiprotonic helium (ASACUSA) and antihydrogen (ALPHA, ASACUSA, ATRAP), and gravitational effects on antimatter (GBAR, AEGIS, ALPHA-g). These innovative experiments at the AD – itself a unique facility in the world – can test fundamental symmetries such as charge–parity–time (CPT) and search for indications of physics beyond the Standard Model involving systems that have never before been studied.

Lurking in the background to all this is the baryon asymmetry problem: the mystery of what happened to all the antimatter that should have been created after the Big Bang. This mystery forces us to question whether antimatter and terrestrial matter really obey the same laws of physics. There is no guarantee that AD experiments will find any new physics, but if you can get your hands on some antimatter, it seems prudent to take a good, hard look at it.

We live in interesting times for antimatter. In addition to experiments at the AD, physicists study potential matter–antimatter asymmetries at the energy frontier at the LHCb experiment, and search for evidence of primordial antimatter streaming through space using the AMS-02 spectrometer onboard the International Space Station. Antihelium-4 nuclei were observed for the first time at Brookhaven’s Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) in 2011, while the LHC’s ALICE collaboration observed and studied antideuterons and antihelium-3 nuclei in 2015. By contrast, the LHC’s ALICE collaboration observed and studied antihelium and antihelium-3 nuclei in 2011, while the AMS-02 spectrometer onboard the International Space Station operated during the same period. These results herald the start of a new field of inquiry that should enable some of the most precise comparisons between matter and antimatter ever attempted.

Unprecedented precision

If you want to measure something precisely, you should probably ask an atomic physicist. For example, the measured frequency of the electronic transition between the ground state and the first excited state in hydrogen (the so-called 1S–2S transition) is 2466061413187035(10) Hz, corresponding to an uncertainty of 4.2 × 10⁻¹⁸, and the measurement is referenced directly to a cesium time standard. Sounds impressive, but, to quote a recent article in Nature Photonics, “Atomic clocks based on optical transitions approach uncertainties of 10⁻¹⁸, where full frequency descriptions are far beyond the reach of the Si second”. In other words, the current time standard just isn’t good enough anymore; at least not for physics. For comparison, the current best value for the mass of the Higgs boson is 125.09 ± 0.24 GeV/c², representing an uncertainty of 4.2 × 10⁻¹⁵, and the measurement is referenced directly to a cesium time standard.

Anti-atomic spectra are not the only hot topic in precision physics. The holy grail of antimatter physics is the Lamb shift. The Lamb shift is the energy difference between the ground state and the first excited state in hydrogen such as the ground-state hyperfine splitting or the Lamb shift. It is the difference between the energies of the electronic transitions between the ground state and the first excited state in hydrogen. The Lamb shift has been measured with unprecedented precision, and the measurement is referenced directly to a cesium time standard.

The holy grail

Thus the hydrogenic 1S–2S transition became a kind of “holy grail” for antihydrogen physics. The idea was that if the transition in antihydrogen could be measured to the same precision (10⁻¹⁸) as in hydrogen, any difference between the two transition frequencies could be determined with a precision approaching that of the kaon system. On an absolute scale, the 1S–2S transition energy is about 10.2 eV, so a precision of 10⁻¹⁸ in this value corresponds to an energy sensitivity of 10⁻¹⁹ eV (10⁻¹² GeV). Other features in hydrogen such as the ground-state hyperfine splitting or the Lamb shift have even smaller energies, on the order of μeV. They are also of fundamental interest in antihydrogen and test different types of physical phenomena than the 1S–2S transition. The BASE antiproton experiment probes CPT invariance in the baryon sector at the atto-electron volt scale – 10⁻¹⁷ GeV – and recently measured the magnetic moment of the antiproton to a precision of 1.5 parts-per-trillion (see p25). Amazingly, the result was better than the most precise measurement of the proton at the time.

It is sobering to reflect on the state of antihydrogen physics when the AD started operations in 2000. The experiments at CERN’s Low Energy Antiproton Ring (LEAR) in 1996 and at the Accumulator at Fermilab in 1998 had detected nine and 66 relativistic atoms of antihydrogen, respectively, which were produced by interactions between a stored antiproton beam and a gas-jet target. These experiments proved the existence of antihydrogen, but they held no potential for precision measurements. The pioneering TRAP experiment had already developed the techniques needed for stopping and trapping antiprotons from...
LEAR, and demonstrated the first capture of antiprotons way back in 1986. The PS200 collaboration succeeded in trapping up to a million antiprotons from LEAR, and TRAP charged the charge-to-mass ratio of protons and antiprotons with a relative precision of about $10^{-9}$. However, no serious attempt had yet been made to synthesise “cold” antihydrogen by the time LEAR stopped operating in 1996.

In 2002 the ATHENA experiment won the race to produce antihydrogen atoms at once – limited only by the number of collisions between charged antiprotons and positrons from which antihydrogen is synthesised. Omitting 30 years of detail, we produce cold antihydrogen by the time LEAR stopped operating in 1996.

The ALPHA-2 apparatus, produced by ATHENA, and subsequently by ATRAP and ASACUSA, were not confined; they would quickly encounter normal matter in the walls of the production apparatus and annihilate. It would take until 2010 for ALPHA to show that it was possible to trap antihydrogen atoms. Although antihydrogen atoms are electrically neutral, they can be confined through the interaction of their magnetic moments with an inhomogeneous magnetic field.

In ALPHA’s milestone 2010 experiment, we could trap on average one atom of antihydrogen every eight times we tried, with a success rate of 79:8.9%. This is a significant improvement over previous experiments. In 2016, we made several changes to our antihydrogen synthesis procedure that led to an increase in trapping rate of more than a factor of 10, and we also learned how to accumulate multiple shots of antihydrogen atoms. At the same time, the laser system and internal optics necessary for exciting the IS–2S transition were fully commissioned in the ALPHA-2 apparatus, and we were finally able to systematically search for this most sought-after spectral line in antimatter.

Anti-atoms that are trapped can be stored for at least 1000 s, but with antihydrogen: if you lose it, even just one atom of it, you know it is gone. This is perhaps the only good thing about working with antihydrogen. If you lose it, even just one atom of it, you know it is gone. Conversely, the loss of a single atom of hydrogen in an equivalent experiment would go unnoticed and un-mourned if there are, say, 1012 remaining (a typical number for trapped hydrogen). Thus, the 1S–2S transition has a very narrow linewidth – this is what makes it interesting – so the laser frequency needs to be just right to excite it. The other side of the same coin is that the 2S state lives longer confined in the magnetic trap and is free to escape to the wall and annihilate. There is also a chance that an un-ionised 2S state atom will suffer a positron spin-flip in the decay to the ground state, in which case the atom is lost.

In the actual experiment, we illuminate trapped antihydrogen atoms with a laser for about 10 minutes, then turn off the trap (in a period of 1.5 s) and use the SVD to count any remaining atoms as they escape. Also, using the SVD we can observe any antihydrogen atoms that are lost during the laser illumination. In this way, we obtain a self-consistent picture of the fate of the atoms that were initially trapped. The key to this experiment is to compare what happens when the laser has the “right” frequency, compared to what happens when we intentionally de-tune the laser to a frequency where no interaction is expected (for hydrogen). As a control, and to monitor the varying trapping rate, we perform the same sequence with no laser present. The whole thing can be summarised in a simple table (figure 3), which shows the results of 11 trials of each type.

A quick glance reveals that the off-resonance and no-laser numbers are consistent with each other and with “nothing going on”. In contrast, the on-resonance numbers show excess events due to trapped antihydrogen atoms knocked out when the laser is on, and a dearth of events left over after the exposure. If we consider the overall inventory of antihydrogen atoms and compare the on- and off-resonance data only, we see that about 138 atoms (79–270) have been knocked out, and 134 atoms (159–670) are missing from the left-over sample, so our interpretation is self-consistent within the uncertainties.

A tough catch

Trapping antihydrogen is extremely challenging because the trapped, charged particles that are needed to synthesise it start out with energies measured in eV (in the case of positrons) or keV (antiprotons), whereas the atom can only be confined if it has sub-meV energy. The antihydrogen is trapped due to the interaction of its magnetic moment, which is dominated by the positron spin, with an inhomogeneous magnetic field. Even with very careful preparation of the trapped positron and antiproton clouds in a cryogenic trap, only a small fraction of the produced antihydrogen are “cold” enough to be trapped. The good news is that once you have trapped them, the antihydrogen stick around for long enough to perform experiments.

Fig. 2. The dominant background in ALPHA when searching for antihydrogen annihilations comes from cosmic rays. The left panel shows a typical topography of pion tracks resulting from an antiproton annihilation, while the right panel illustrates a typical cosmic-ray event.

Fig. 3. The number of raw events that are used by ALPHA to measure the antihydrogen IS–2S transition must be scaled by an overall detection efficiency to represent the actual number of atoms. In this table, the detection efficiencies are different for the first column (0.376) and the third column (0.685) because the algorithms used to distinguish annihilations from cosmic rays are tuned differently in order to reflect the very different observation times (600 s versus 1.5 s for each trial).

A quick glance reveals that the off-resonance and no-laser numbers are consistent with each other and with “nothing going on”. In contrast, the on-resonance numbers show excess events due to trapped antihydrogen atoms knocked out when the laser is on, and a dearth of events left over after the exposure. If we consider the overall inventory of antihydrogen atoms and compare the on- and off-resonance data only, we see that about 138 atoms (79–270) have been knocked out, and 134 atoms (159–670) are missing from the left-over sample, so our interpretation is self-consistent within the uncertainties.

This initial “proof-of-principle” experiment demonstrates that the transition is where we expect it to be for hydrogen and localises it to a frequency of about 400 KHz (the laser detuning for the off-reso-
To hypersonic splitting and beyond

A similar strategy can be used to study other transitions in antihydrogen, in particular its hypersonic splitting. With ALPHA we can drive transitions between different spin states of antihydrogen in the magnetic trap. In a magnetic field, the 1S ground state splits into four states that correspond, at high fields, to the possible alignments of the positron and antiproton spins with the field (figure 4). The upper two states can be trapped in ALPHA's magnetic trap and, using microwaves at a frequency of about 30 GHz, it is possible to resonantly drive transitions from these two states to the two lower energy states which in the trapping field (minimum 1 T) correspond to the ground-state Lamb shift should be accessible using ALPHA's trapped antihydrogen.

It is clearly “game on” for precision comparisons of matter and antimatter at the AD. It is fair to say that the facility has already exceeded its expectations, and the physics programme is in full bloom. We have some way to go before we reach hydrogen-like precision in ALPHA, but the road ahead is clear. With the commissioning of the very challenging gravity experiments GIBAR, AEGIS and ALPHA-g over the next few years, and the advent of the new low-energy ELENA ring at the AD (CERN Courier December 2016 p16), low-energy antimatter physics at CERN promises a steady stream of groundbreaking results, and perhaps a few surprises.

Further reading


Résumé

La structure spectrale de l’antihydrogène révélée

Après 30 ans d’efforts des équipes travaillant au CERN sur l’automaticité à basse énergie, la collaboration ALPHA a réalisé des mesures déterminantes de la structure spectrale de l’antihydrogène, dans une recherche portant sur les symétries fondamentales de la nature. La collaboration a déterminé pour la première fois la transition 1S–2S – pierre de touche des mesures de précision dans l’antihydrogène, et elle s’intéresse à présent à la séparation hyperréfrénée de l’état fondamental et d’autres caractéristiques de ces antistatiques simples. La structure de l’antihydrogène conserve de nombreux mystères, et la précision des mesures d’ALPHA continuera à augmenter.

Pauli himself was puzzled by the principle.

Putting the Pauli exclusion principle on trial

The exclusion principle (EP), which states that no two fermions can occupy the same quantum state, has been with us for almost a century. In his Nobel lecture, Pauli provided a deep and broad-ranging account of its discovery and its connections to unsolved problems of the newly born quantum theory. In the early 1920s, before Schrödinger’s equation and Heisenberg’s matrix algebra had come along, a young Pauli performed an extraordinary feat when he postulated both the EP and what he called “classically non-descrivable two-valuedness” – an early hint of the existence of electron spin – to explain the structure of atomic spectra.

At that time the EP met with some resistance and Pauli himself was dubious about the concepts that he had somewhat recklessly introduced. The situation changed significantly after the introduction in 1925 of the electron-spin concept and its identification with Pauli’s two-valuedness, which derived from the empirical ideas of Lande, an initial suggestion by Kronig, and an independent paper by Goudsmit and Uhlenbeck. By introducing the picture of the electron as a small classical sphere with a spin that could point in just two directions, both Kronig, and Goudsmit and Uhlenbeck, were able to compute the fine-structure splitting of atomic hydrogen, although they still missed a critical factor of two. These first steps were followed by the relativistic calculations of Thomas, by the spin calculus of Pauli, and finally, in 1928, by the elegant wave equation of Dirac, which put an end to all resistance against the concept of spin.

If we tightly grasp a stone in our hands, we neither expect it to vanish nor is it allowed to change its form. Our experience is that all particles and, more generally, solid matter is stable and impenetrable. Last year marked the 50th anniversary of the demonstration by Freeman Dyson and Andrew Lenard that the stability of matter derives from the Pauli exclusion principle. This principle, for which Wolfgang Pauli received the 1945 Nobel Prize in Physics, is based on ideas so prevalent in fundamental physics that their underpinnings are rarely questioned. Here, we celebrate and reflect on the Pauli principle, and survey the latest experimental efforts to test it.

The exclusion principle (EP), which states that no two fermions can occupy the same quantum state, has been with us for almost a century. In his Nobel lecture, Pauli provided a deep and broad-ranging account of its discovery and its connections to unsolved problems of the newly born quantum theory. In the early 1920s, before Schrödinger’s equation and Heisenberg’s matrix algebra had come along, a young Pauli performed an extraordinary feat when he postulated both the EP and what he called “classically non-descrivable two-valuedness” – an early hint of the existence of electron spin – to explain the structure of atomic spectra. At that time the EP met with some resistance and Pauli himself was dubious about the concepts that he had somewhat recklessly introduced. The situation changed significantly after the introduction in 1925 of the electron-spin concept and its identification with Pauli’s two-valuedness, which derived from the empirical ideas of Lande, an initial suggestion by Kronig, and an independent paper by Goudsmit and Uhlenbeck. By introducing the picture of the electron as a small classical sphere with a spin that could point in just two directions, both Kronig, and Goudsmit and Uhlenbeck, were able to compute the fine-structure splitting of atomic hydrogen, although they still missed a critical factor of two. These first steps were followed by the relativistic calculations of Thomas, by the spin calculus of Pauli, and finally, in 1928, by the elegant wave equation of Dirac, which put an end to all resistance against the concept of spin.

However, a theoretical explanation of the EP had to wait for some time. Just before the Second World War, Pauli and Markus Fierz made significant progress toward this goal, followed by the publication in 1940 by Pauli of his seminal paper “The connection between spin and statistics”. This paper showed that (assuming a relativistically invariant form of causality) the spin of a particle determines the commutation relations, i.e. whether fields commute or anticommute, and therefore the statistics that particles obey. The EP for spin-½ fermions follows as a corollary of the spin-statistics connection, and the division of particles into fermions and bosons based on their spins is one of the cornerstones of modern physics.

Beguilingly simple

The EP is beguilingly simple to state, and many physicists have tried to skip relativity and find direct proofs that use ordinary quantum mechanics alone – albeit assuming spin, which is a genuinely relativistic concept. Pauli himself was puzzled by the principle, and in his Nobel lecture he noted: “Already in my original paper I stressed the circumstance that I was unable to give a logical rea-
Exclusion principle

A violation of the EP would be revolutionary.

son for the exclusion principle or to deduce it from more general assumptions. I had always the feeling and I still have it today, that this is a deficiency. …The impression that the shadow of some incompleteness fell here on the bright light of success of the new quantum mechanics seems to me unavoidable.” Even Feynman – who usually outshone others with his uncanny intuition – felt frustrated by his inability to come up with a simple, straightforward justification of the EP: “It appears to be one of the few places in physics where a law of nature is stated very simply, but for which no one has found a simple and easy explanation… This probably means that we do not have a complete understanding of the fundamental principle involved. For the moment, you will just have to take it as one of the rules of the world.”

Of special interest

After further theoretical studies, which included new proofs of the spin-statistics connection and the introduction of so-called para-statistics by Green, a possible small violation of the EP was first considered by Grasse and Kuzmin in 1974 when they reanalysed an experiment by Goldhaber and Scharff in 1948. The possibility of small violations was refuted theoretically by Amado and Prima-koff in 1980, but the topic was revived in 1987. That year, Russian theorist Lev Okun presented a model of violations of the EP in which he considered modified fermionic states which, in addition to the usual vacuum and one-particle state, also include a two-particle state. Okun wrote that “The special place enjoyed by the Pauli principle in modern theoretical physics does not mean that this principle does not require further and exhaustive experimental tests. On the contrary, it is specifically the fundamental nature of the Pauli principle that would make such tests, over the entire periodic table, of special interest.”

Okun’s model, however, ran into difficulties when attempting to construct a reasonable Hamiltonian, first because the Hamiltonian included nonlocal terms and, second, because Okun did not succeed in constructing a relativistic generalisation of the model. Despite this, his paper strongly encouraged experimental tests in this direction. In the same year (1987), Ignatiev and Kuzmin presented an extension of Okun’s model in a strictly non-relativistic context that was characterised by a “beta parameter” |β| < 1. Not to be confused with the relativistic factor v/c, it is a parameter describing the action of the creation operator on the one-particle state. Using a toy model to illustrate transitions that violate the EP, Ignatiev and Kuzmin deduced that the transition probability for an anomalous vector (i.e. spin-one) particles into two photons. Such decays are forbidden by the Messiah–Greenberg superselection rule, which can only be broken if a physical system is open.

One of the difficulties faced by experiments is that the identiﬁcation of elementary particles implies that Hamiltonians must be invariant with respect to particle exchange, and, as a consequence, they cannot change the symmetry of any given state of multiple identical particles. Even in the case of a mixed symmetry of a many-particle system, there is no physical way to induce a transition to a state of different symmetry. This is the essence of the Messiah–Greenberg superselection rule, which can only be broken if a physical system is open.

Breaking the rules

The first dedicated experiment in line with this breaking of the Messiah–Greenberg superselection rule was performed in 1990 by Ramberg and Snow, who searched for Pauli-forbidden X-ray transitions in copper after introducing electrons into the system. The idea is that a power supply injecting an electric current into a copper conductor acts as a source of electrons, which are new to the atoms in the conductor (see figure at top of page). If these electrons have the “wrong” symmetry they can be radiatively captured into the already occupied 1S level of the copper atoms and emit electromagnetic radiation. The resulting X-rays are inﬂuenced by the unusual electron conﬁguration and are slightly shifted towards lower energies with respect to the characteristic X-rays of copper.

Ramberg and Snow did not detect any violation but were able to put an upper bound on the violation probability of |β|/2 < 1.7 × 10−29. Following their concept, a much improved version of the experiment, called VIP (violation of the Pauli principle), was set up in the LNGS underground laboratory in Gran Sasso, Italy. In 2006, VIP improved signiﬁcantly on the Ramberg and Snow experiment by using charge-coupled devices (CCD) as high-resolution X-ray detectors with a large area and high intrinsic efﬁciency. In the original VIP setup, CCDs were positioned around a pure-copper cylinder. X-rays emitted from the cylinder were measured without and with current up to 40 A. The cosmic background in the LNGS laboratory is strongly suppressed – by a factor of 109 thanks to the overlying rock – and the apparatus was also surrounded by massive lead shielding.

Setting limits

After four years of data taking, VIP set a new limit on the EP violation for electrons at |β|/2 < 4.7 × 10−29. To further enhance the sensitivity, the experiment was upgraded to VIP2, where silicon drift detectors (SSDs) replace CCDs as X-ray detectors. VIP2 was constructed in 2011 and in 2016 the setup was installed in the underground LNGS laboratory, where, after debugging and testing, data-taking started. The SSDs provide a wider solid angle for X-ray detection and this improvement, together with higher current and active shielding with plastic scintillators to limit background, leads to a much better sensitivity. The timing capability of SSDs also helps to reduce the background events.

The experimental programme testing for a possible violation of the EP for electrons made great progress in 2017 and had already improved the upper limit set by VIP in the ﬁrst two months of running time. With a planned duration of three years and alternating measurement with and without current, a two-orders-of-magnitude improvement is expected with respect to the previous VIP upper bound. In the absence of a signal, this will set the limit on violations of the EP at |β|/2 < 10−39.

Experiments like VIP and VIP2 test the spin-statistics connection for one particular kind of fermions: electrons. The case of EP violations for photons was studied by Deyegov and Smirnov. As for bosons, constraints on possible statistics violations come from high-energy-physics searches for decays of vector (i.e. spin-one) particles into two photons. Such decays are forbidden by the Landau–Yang theorem, whose proof incorporates the assumption that the two photons must be produced in a permutation-symmetric state. A complementary approach is to apply spectroscopic tests, as carried out at LENS in Florence during the 1990s, which probe the permutation properties of 0+ nuclei in polyatomic molecules by searching for transitions between states that are antisymmetric under the exchange of two nuclei. If the nuclei are bosons, as in this case, such transitions, if found, violate the spin-statistics relation. High-sensitivity tests for photons were also performed with spectroscopic methods. As an example, using Bose–Einstein-statistics-forbidden two-photon excitation in barium, the probability for two photons to be in a “wrong” permutation-symmetry state was shown by English and co-workers at Berkeley by measuring a signal of more than three orders of magnitude compared to earlier results.

To conclude, we note that the EP has many associated philo-
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Sophisticated issues, as Pauli himself was well aware of, and these are
being studied within a dedicated project involving VIP collabora-
tors, and supported by the John Templeton Foundation. One such
issue is the notion of “identicalness”, which does not seem to have
an analogue outside quantum mechanics because there are no two
fundamentally identical classical objects.

This ultimate equality of quantum particles leads to all-impor-
tant consequences governing the structure and dynamics of atoms
and molecules, neutron stars, black-body radiation and determin-
ning our life in all its intricacy. For instance, molecular oxygen in air
is due to the EP, and moderates the rate of oxygen attachment to
one after the other. This sequential character to electron transfers
are paramagnetic with unpaired electrons that have parallel spins,
lies in the pairing of electron spins: ordinary oxygen molecules
is extremely reactive, so why do our lungs not just burn? The reason
ing our life in all its intricacy. For instance, molecular oxygen in air
and molecules, neutron stars, black-body radiation and determin-
tant consequences governing the structure and dynamics of atoms

Further reading
- L Okun 1987 JETP Lett. 46 529.
- VIP Collaboration 2017 Entropy 19 300.

Résumé
Le principe d’exclusion de Pauli à l’épreuve
Le principe d’exclusion fait partie des fondements de la physique,
mais cela n’arrête pas les expérimentateurs désireux de le mettre à
l’épreuve. Si un écart est mis en évidence, il pourrait être lié à une
violation de CPT ou à une violation du principe de localité ou de
l’invariance de Lorentz. La première expérience spécifique à ce
sujet a été réalisée en 1990 avec la recherche de rayons X résultant
de transitions dans le cuivre interdites par le principe de Pauli. Une
version moderne de cette expérience, appelée VIP2, est en cours au
laboratoire du Gran Sasso. Elle a déjà établi de nouvelles limites
concernant les violations du principe de Pauli. Au cours des trois
prochaines années, sa précision sera portée à un niveau de 10⁻²⁰.

Catalina Curceanu, LMF–INFN, Dmitry Budker, Helmholtz Institute,
JOULFala, LCJBerkeley, Edward J Hall, Harvard University, Johannn
Marton, Stefan Meyer Institute, Vienna, and Edoardo Milito, University of
Trieste and INFN–Sezione di Trieste.
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**New director for Los Alamos**

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) in the US has appointed a new director – the 11th in the laboratory’s nearly 75 year history. Terry Wallace, who holds higher degrees in geophysics from Caltech and previously was principal associate director for global security, took up the role on January 1, replacing Charlie McMillan. Wallace is an expert in forensic seismology and an international authority on the detection and quantification of nuclear tests. He will oversee a budget of around $2.5 billion, employees and contractors numbering nearly 12,000, and a 36-square-mile site of scientific laboratories, nuclear facilities, experimental capabilities, administration buildings and utilities. “I am honoured and humbled to be leading LANL,” he said.

**DPG elects next president**

The board of directors of the Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft (DPG) has elected Dieter Meschede of the University of Bonn as its next president, beginning in April. Meschede takes over from former CERN Director-General Rolf-Dieter Heuer, who will assume the DPG vice presidency, and the position will last for two years. Meschede is group leader of the quantum technologies group at Bonn, with interests including quantum information processing and fibre-cavity QED. The DPG, which has around 62,000 members, selects successors more than a year before the end of the term of office of the acting president to familiarise them with the complex role.

**Change of spokespersons at Pierre Auger**

The international Pierre Auger Observatory has elected Ralph Engel and Antonella Castellina as spokesperson and co-spokesperson, respectively. Engel is senior scientist and currently acting director of the Institute for Nuclear Physics at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology in Germany, with research interests including ultra-high-energy cosmic rays and neutrinos. Castellina is senior scientist at the National Institute of Astrophysics in Torino, Italy, and an associate at the INFN, and her current research work focuses on cosmic-ray composition and hadronic interactions at ultra high-energy, in addition to detector development. Started in 2000 and located in the Argentinian Pampa, the Auger Observatory has shown that cosmic rays with energies above $8 \times 10^{18}$ eV are of extra-galactic origin. To probe the sources of such events further, the facility is undergoing a major upgrade of its surface stations (CERN Courier June 2016 p29).

**Mark Thomson set to head up UK research council**

Experimental particle physicist Mark Thomson of the University of Cambridge has been appointed executive chair of the UK’s Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), beginning 1 April. Thomson, who will succeed current chief-executive Brian Bowsher, has interests in a number of areas, including collider physics and neutrinos. He is currently co-spokesperson for the Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE), the prototype detector modules of which are being developed at CERN, and was instrumental in securing a recent £65 million UK investment in the US-based facility. His term as co-spokesperson of DUNE ends in March.

The position of executive chair of STFC, which funds UK research in particle physics, astrophysics and nuclear physics, has been created following a major reorganisation of the UK’s research administration. Bringing together the UK’s seven existing research councils and two others (Innovate UK and Research England) from April this year, the UK’s science spend will be overseen by a single body called UK Research and Innovation (UKRI). Recently appointed UK minister for higher education, Sam Gyimah, said: “Boosting research and development is at the heart of our modern industrial strategy and the role of executive chairs for the research councils will have a fundamental role in not only setting the priorities for their particular areas of interest, but of UKRI as a whole.”

Thomson will take the reins at STFC in April.
Winners of Buchalter Prize announced

The winners of the 2017 Buchalter Cosmology Prize were announced in January at a meeting of the American Astronomical Society in Washington, DC. The annual prize, created by Ari Buchalter in 2014, rewards ideas or discoveries that have the potential to produce a breakthrough in our understanding of the origin, structure, and evolution of the universe.

The $10,000 first prize was awarded to Lasha Berezhiani of the Max Planck Institute for Physics and Justin Khoury of the University of Pennsylvania, for their work entitled “Theory of Dark Matter Superfluidity” (arXiv:1507.01901), while the $5000 second prize was awarded to Steffen Gielen of the University of Nottingham and Neil Turok of the Perimeter Institute, for their work “Perfect Quantum Cosmological Bounce” (arXiv:1510.00669). The $2500 third prize was awarded to Peter Ashdown of the University of Illinois, Diego Blas of CERN, Cliff Burgess and Peter Hayman of McMaster University and the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, and Subodh Patil of the Niels Bohr Institute, for their work entitled “Magnon Inflation: Slow Roll with Steep Potentials” (arXiv:1604.00640).

Human interactions leave indelible tracks at CERN

The kick-off event of the CERN alumni programme, named “First Collisions”, took place on 2 and 3 February. It was a truly unforgettable experience, certainly for the organisers, who put all our energy into the organisation of the very first reunion of CERN alumni. The participants – some 360 people coming from all over the world – gathered at CERN with their wealth of history, experiences and skills that are now part of all of us thanks to the fruitful exchanges we shared. Some of the participants came to reunite with former colleagues, others to develop their network, others to just see what CERN is like today. It was an invaluable opportunity to be able to obtain feedback about the new network and expectations for its future development.

The talks delivered by CERN alumni were the heart beats of the event. They included Pierre Darrault, spokesperson of the UA2 experiment and CERN’s research director from 1987–1994, who delivered important messages about science without borders; and Christer Fuglesang, director of KTH Space Center, talking about swapping CERN’s underground installations for a career in space. The inspiring speakers were able to trigger interesting discussions among the participants, which continued during the networking breaks and the dinner held in the CMS experimental hall. We enjoyed spending time in a very relaxed atmosphere, which transformed a normally experimental workplace into a cozy venue for one special evening.

First Collisions was also an opportunity for many families and friends to explore the various corners of CERN together. Many of the experimental sites that participants and their families visited had been opened exclusively for them, and in many cases the spokespersons of the various experiments played the role of guides for our alumni – a unique opportunity for all concerned.

The event is now over, but it is a case of “see you soon” for all the members of the network. Indeed, we are just at the beginning. The CERN alumni network will continue to grow and will be shaped by the needs, enthusiasm and involvement of its members. This will require a lot of work and a strong vision, and a roadmap for the future based on these initial few months of collaboration is being prepared.
Physics fest for a future circular collider

The second Future Circular Collider (FCC) physics workshop was held at CERN on 15–19 January, gathering particle physicists from around the world for talks and detailed discussions on the physics capabilities of future electron–positron, electron–proton, and proton–proton colliders. The FCC study, which emerged following the 2013 European Strategy for Particle Physics, is a five-year project led by CERN to investigate a circular collider built in a new 100 km-circumference tunnel in the Geneva region. Such a tunnel could host an e+e– collider (called FCC-ee), a 100 TeV proton–proton collider (FCC-hh) or an electron–proton collider (FCC-eh). Further opportunities include the collision of heavy ions in FCC-hh and FCC-eh, and fixed-target experiments using the injector complex.

Last year saw a significant evolution in the maturity of the physics studies for these machines, with many detailed results presented. These results include new techniques to determine the properties of the Higgs boson, such as the all-important Higgs potential, and how these relate to fundamental questions at the smallest distance scales. New ideas about how to search for new particles interacting very weakly with normal matter – such as new species of neutrinos, dark photons or other new light scalar particles – were also studied in depth.

PT2026 NMR Precision Teslamer
Reach new heights in magnetic field measurements

The Metrolab PT2026 sets a new standard for precision magnetometers. Leveraging 30 years of expertise building the world’s gold standard magnetometers, it takes magnetic field measurement to new heights; measuring higher fields with better resolution.

The PT2026 offers unprecedented flexibility in the choice of parameters, interfacing and probe placement, as well as greatly improved tolerance of inhomoogeneous fields. And with Ethernet & USB interfaces and LabVIEW software, it fits perfectly into modern laboratory environments.

Project to assess impact of research infrastructures

At an event in Brussels on 19 January, the European Commission hosted the RI Impact Pathways project to develop a model for analysing the socio-economic impacts of its research infrastructures (RIs). The €1.5 million project aims to identify and quantify the broader value of RIs and their plans for improvements, initiating a “comprehensive stocktaking exercise” on the existing approaches for impact assessment of Europe’s research infrastructures.

The long-term benefits of research infrastructures to society at large are undisputed. Training, industrial innovation and the creation of cultural goods are among the many benefits that emerge from these highly international, collaborative environments for society. As RIs become larger, more complex and attract more users, their costs increase, hence it is important to have a common framework to assess the societal impact. RI Impact Pathways will undertake an extensive consultation over the next two years with the research community, policy makers and funding agencies in Europe. According to project manager Alasdair Reid, “it aims to develop an operational model and a toolkit to help RI managers, funders and decision makers understand the full range of benefits that exist within an RI.” Project participants include CEA, Helmholz (Germany) and the European Molecular Biology Laboratory, among several others.

CERN participates via the Future Circular Collider (FCC) study, which is exploring the feasibility and opportunities of a post-LHC particle collider. A report commissioned last year by the FCC group showed that entering in CERN procurement had a statistically significant effect on the long-term operating revenues and profitability of LHC suppliers, driven mostly driven by high-orders, and the benefits of future colliders is expected to be at least as high.

CLIC workshop focuses on strategy

The Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) workshop is the annual gathering of the CLIC accelerator and detector communities, and this year attracted more than 220 participants to CERN on 22–26 January. CLIC is a proposed electron-positron linear collider envisaged for the era beyond the high-luminosity LHC (HL-LHC), that was operated a stage programme over a period of 25 years with collaborating organisations from 25 countries, and is designed to operate at 38, 1.5, and 3 TeV. This year the CLIC workshop focused on preparations for the update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics in 2019–2020.

The initial CLIC energy stage is optimised to provide high-precision Higgs boson and top-quark measurements, with the higher-energy stages enhancing sensitivity to effects from beyond-Standard Model physics. The Phase 1 of the CLIC project is expected to be completed in 2020.

The initial CLIC stage as a project requiring resources comparable to what was needed for LHC. Key activities in this context are high-efficiency RF systems, permanent magnet studies, optimised accelerator structures and overall implementation studies related to civil engineering, infrastructure, schedules and tunnel layout.

A key aspect of the ongoing accelerator development is moving towards industrialisation of the component manufacture, by fostering wider applications of the CLIC 12 GHz X-band technology with external partners. In this respect, the CLIC workshop coincided with the kick-off meeting for the Compact Light project recently funded by the Horizon 2020 programme, which aims to design an optimised X-ray free-electron laser based on X-band technology for more compact and efficient accelerators (CERN Courier December 2017 p8).

Last year also saw the realisation of the CERN Linear Electron Accelerator for Research (CLEAR), a new user facility for accelerator R&D whose programme includes CLIC high-gradient and instrumentation studies (CERN Courier November 2017 p8). Presentations at the workshop addressed the programmes for instrumentation and radiation studies, plasma bunching, wakefield monitors and high-energy electrons for cancer therapy.

During 2018 the CLIC accelerator and detector and physics collaborations will prepare summary reports focusing on the 380 GeV initial CLIC project implementation as inputs for the update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics, including plans for the project preparation phase in 2020–2025.
Rutherford Appleton Laboratory turns 60

The UK’s Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (RAL) marked its 60th anniversary in late 2017, highlighting its many roles in fundamental research over the decades. RAL started off in 1957 as the National Institute for Research in Nuclear Science to operate the Rutherford High Energy Laboratory, at a time when the Harwell campus (a former airbase) was rising in prominence as a centre for nuclear research, and is now part of the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC).

Since its inception, RAL has been at the core of particle-physics research and played host to a variety of accelerators including the early proton synchrotron NIMROD. The experimental focus of the laboratory has since shifted focus to higher energy facilities overseas such as CERN and SLAC, and also beyond particle physics, including the space sector and materials science.

Computing is also at the core of RAL’s history. In 1961 the laboratory was home to the Atlas 1 computer, at that time the most powerful computer in the world, while the cutting-edge CGI and animation technologies developed at the site prompted the Financial Times to dub the Oxfordshire laboratory “the home of computer animation in Britain”.

On 19 January newly appointed director general of the European Southern Observatory, Xavier Barcons, spent a day at CERN, during which he toured the ATLAS underground experimental area, and magnet and robotics facilities.

Patrick Vallance, president of research and development at GlaxoSmithKline GB, came to CERN on 26 January. Taking advantage of the accelerator winter shutdown, he visited the LHC tunnel followed by ATLAS and the computing centre.

On 24 January the prime minister of the Republic of Estonia, Juri Ratas, visited CERN, during which he toured the ATLAS underground experimental area. Estonian scientists have been active members of the CERN community since joining the CMS collaboration in 1997, and the country operates a Tier-3 Grid computing centre in Tallinn.

The passing of Raoul Raffaele Gatto in Meyrin, Geneva, on 30 September is a big loss for science and for a whole generation of particle theorists. After graduating at the Scuola Normale in Pisa, and a short stay at La Sapienza (Rome), Gatto held prominent positions at Berkeley and Frascati before occupying, successively, the chair of theoretical physics in Cagliari, Florence, Padua, Rome and, eventually, at the University of Geneva.

A member of the Accademia dei Lincei, the Accademia delle Scienze di Torino and the American Physical Society, he received numerous recognitions such as the Enrico Fermi medal and the prize of the President of the Italian Republic. For several decades he was editor of Physics Letters B and deputy director of the Rivista del Nuovo Cimento.

Gatto’s contributions to theoretical physics are too many to be listed here. We may just recall his joint work with Cabibbo on the muon neutrino and on weak hyperon decays (which formed the basis of Cabibbo’s discovery of the angle that carries his name), the Ademollo-Gatto theorem on the absence of first-order breaking of flavour symmetry in weak hadronic decays, his pioneering work on scale and conformal invariance in quantum field theory, and a series of papers on composite Higgs models.

While in terms of scientific achievements Gatto clearly belonged to the class of the theorists of his generation, he was head and shoulders above the crowd as a teacher. It is not easy to pin down the secret of his enthusiasm and excitement for the projects he championed. John D’Auria was one of a kind, and his talents and booming laugh will be sorely missed.

His colleagues at TRIUMF.

John D’Auria 1939–2017

John D’Auria, who was a driving force behind the TRIUMF laboratory’s emergence as a radioactive ion beam (RIB) facility, passed away on 22 October after a courageous fight with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. He was 78 years old.

John earned his PhD in nuclear science at Yale University in 1966, specialising in nuclear spectroscopy. Following a postdoc at Columbia University, in 1967 he was appointed as assistant professor of chemistry at the newly established Simon Fraser University (SFU) in Canada.

SFU created its nuclear science programme early on in anticipation of TRIUMF, which was founded in 1968, and John participated in the early planning for the lab.

A fateful sabbatical at CERN’s ISOLDE facility in 1975–76 set the course for both John’s and TRIUMF’s future. At ISOLDE he was the first author of the paper reporting the discovery of 90Rb, and he became very interested in the isotope-separation technology at ISOLDE. This ultimately led John (along with Richard A una of the University of Toronto) to lead a group with modest funding and much resourcefulness to build the TRIUMF TISOL facility. ISOLDE and TISOL collaborated fruitfully, including an episode where TRIUMF imported, diagnosed and repaired a failed ISOLDE front-end. Notable TISOL successes included the so-called Red Giant experiment for studying alpha capture on carbon, which was of prime importance in astrophysics, as well as launching the TRINAT neutral radioactive atom trap programme, which is still active today.

TISOL’s success and John’s persistent advocacy for a RIB programme at TRIUMF set the stage for the lab’s decision to pursue construction of its ISAC facilities. His expertise was critical in ISAC’s early days as the new generation of RIB scientists was being trained. He was project leader for ISAC’s flagship experimental facility, the DRAGON mass separator, and under John’s leadership, DRAGON became the world’s premier facility for the study of radioactive capture using radioactive beams.

John retired from SFU in 2004, but continued research that combined mass separators with his long-standing interest in medical applications for radioisotopes. In recognition of his outstanding contributions to nuclear science and major developments at TRIUMF, John was elected a fellow of the American Physical Society in 2015. When he became ill, John was still very active in the planning for a new TRIUMF facility for the mass production of 197Au for targeted alpha cancer therapy.

John created a worldwide network of collaborators and nurtured a generation of students into the worldwide nuclear astrophysics community. ISAC has opened up new fields of study at TRIUMF, not only in nuclear astrophysics but also in nuclear structure, in tests of the Standard Model, in unique applications in condensed-matter physics and in nuclear medicine. TRIUMF’s upcoming ARIEL facility can trace its roots to his rare ability to generate enthusiasm and excitement for the projects he championed. John D’Auria was one of a kind, and his talents and booming laugh will be sorely missed.

His colleagues at TRIUMF.

Obituaries

Raoul Gatto 1930–2017

The passing of Raoul Raffaele Gatto in Meyrin, Geneva, on 30 September is a big loss for science and for a whole generation of particle theorists. After graduating at the Scuola Normale in Pisa, and a short stay at La Sapienza (Rome), Gatto held prominent positions at Berkeley and Frascati before occupying, successively, the chair of theoretical physics in Cagliari, Florence, Padua, Rome and, eventually, at the University of Geneva.

A member of the Accademia dei Lincei, the Accademia delle Scienze di Torino and the American Physical Society, he received numerous recognitions such as the Enrico Fermi medal and the prize of the President of the Italian Republic. For several decades he was editor of Physics Letters B and deputy director of the Rivista del Nuovo Cimento.

Gatto’s contributions to theoretical physics are too many to be listed here. We may just recall his joint work with Cabibbo on the muon neutrino and on weak hyperon decays (which formed the basis of Cabibbo’s discovery of the angle that carries his name), the Ademollo-Gatto theorem on the absence of first-order breaking of flavour symmetry in weak hadronic decays, his pioneering work on scale and conformal invariance in quantum field theory, and a series of papers on composite Higgs models.

While in terms of scientific achievements Gatto clearly belonged to the class of the theorists of his generation, he was head and shoulders above the crowd as a teacher. It is not easy to pin down the secret of his success in attracting young researchers.
to theoretical physics and in helping them grow and develop their own individual qualities. Both Luciano Maiani and myself, for instance, were dragged from experimental high-energy physics by his charming, attractive personality. Luciano had already graduated as an experimentalist before joining Gatto’s group in 1964. I had to go through a long period of study and work before being accepted, but it was worthwhile.

When Gatto came to Florence, a group of very promising young researchers followed him one after another: Altarelli, Buccella, Celeghini, Gallavotti, Maiani and Preparata. Gatto created a stimulating, healthy, competitive atmosphere by distributing among us original, challenging research projects. We had to work things out without much help from him, except for letting us know, occasionally and very gently, that there was something that had to be changed in our approach. The whole group (soon dubbed the “gattini”) grew in strength and reputation, and soon we became capable of doing independent research. More senior theorists who were already in Florence (among them Ademollo, Chuderi and Longhi) were also integrated in the new structure, together with students like myself, Casalbuoni and Dominici. This success story repeated itself when Gatto moved to Padua (with Sartor, Tonin and Feruglio) and then again in Rome (with Ferrara and Parisi).

It is often said that Enrico Fermi created the Italian school of particle physics from scratch. But I believe that, for theoretical physics, Raoul Gatto was the heir of Fermi, who best transmitted his legacy to the next generation. ● Gabriele Veneziano.

Ernst Heer 1928–2017
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Two research positions in advanced instrumentation and techniques for particle physics, astrophysics or medical applications

The Institut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona (ICMAB) has a vacancy for a postdoctoral researcher in the field of soft matter physics and condensed matter physics. The position is part of the Spanish National Research Council (CSIC) and involves the development of new experimental techniques and the analysis of their performance in the context of fundamental research questions.

**RESEARCH TOPIC**

The research topic is focused on the development of novel experimental techniques for the study of soft matter systems. This includes the design, fabrication, and characterization of micro- and nanostructures, as well as the application of advanced microscopy techniques such as electron microscopy and optical microscopy. The aim is to explore the fundamental properties of soft matter systems at the nanoscale and to understand the interplay between structure and function.

**JOB DESCRIPTION**

- **Responsibilities**: The successful candidate will be responsible for the development and characterization of new experimental techniques, the analysis of data, and the publication of results in high-impact scientific journals.
- **Qualifications**: Applicants should have a PhD in physics, materials science, or a related field, with a strong background in experimental physics. Experience in the design and fabrication of micro- and nanostructures is desirable.
- **Salary**: Commensurate with experience.
- **Application**: Interested candidates should submit a CV, a cover letter, and a list of publications. The application should be sent to icmab@icmab.es with the subject line "Postdoc Application - [Your Name]."
What about… a studentship with CERN?

There’s no better way to learn than on-the-job. When that job happens to be in a world-famous organisation and centre of scientific excellence, even better.

If you’re currently studying a technical or administrative subject, or are considering a PhD, find out more about CERN’s flagship student programmes: Technical, Administrative and Doctoral studentships are open now for applications!

careers.cern/join-us/students

Closing date 16th April 2018.

JOIN US!

The future is in laser technologies

The ELI (Extreme Light Infrastructure) Project is an integral part of the European plan to build the next generation of large research facilities. ELI-Beamlines as a cutting edge laser facility is currently being constructed near Prague, Czech Republic. ELI will be delivering ultrashort, ultraintense laser pulses lasting typically a few femtoseconds with peak power projected to reach 10 PW. It will make available time synchronized laser beams over a wide range of intensities for multi-disciplinary applications in physics, medicine, biology, material sciences etc. The high intensities of the laser pulse will be also used for generating secondary sources of e- and p+ and high-energy photons. Our research groups are expanding and recruiting physicists and engineers.

In our team we therefore have the following positions available:

• Junior Scientist
• Senior Scientist
• Laser Physicist
• Junior Engineer
• Senior Engineer
• Vacuum Technician
• Mechanical Designer
• Control System Specialist
• Safety Engineer
• Optical Engineer
• X-ray Scientist
• Opto-mechanics

For more information see our website www.eli-beams.eu and send your application please.

The ELI Beamlines Project

www.eli-beams.eu

What about… a studentship with CERN?

There’s no better way to learn than on-the-job. When that job happens to be in a world-famous organisation and centre of scientific excellence, even better.

If you’re currently studying a technical or administrative subject, or are considering a PhD, find out more about CERN’s flagship student programmes: Technical, Administrative and Doctoral studentships are open now for applications!

careers.cern/join-us/students

Closing date 16th April 2018.

JOIN US!

The future is in laser technologies

The ELI (Extreme Light Infrastructure) Project is an integral part of the European plan to build the next generation of large research facilities. ELI-Beamlines as a cutting edge laser facility is currently being constructed near Prague, Czech Republic. ELI will be delivering ultrashort, ultraintense laser pulses lasting typically a few femtoseconds with peak power projected to reach 10 PW. It will make available time synchronized laser beams over a wide range of intensities for multi-disciplinary applications in physics, medicine, biology, material sciences etc. The high intensities of the laser pulse will be also used for generating secondary sources of e- and p+ and high-energy photons. Our research groups are expanding and recruiting physicists and engineers.

In our team we therefore have the following positions available:

• Junior Scientist
• Senior Scientist
• Laser Physicist
• Junior Engineer
• Senior Engineer
• Vacuum Technician
• Mechanical Designer
• Control System Specialist
• Safety Engineer
• Optical Engineer
• X-ray Scientist
• Opto-mechanics

For more information see our website www.eli-beams.eu and send your application please.

The ELI Beamlines Project

www.eli-beams.eu

MSc in Nuclear Science & Technology

PG Diploma, PG Certificate & CPD also available.

Whether you’re already working in the nuclear industry or looking to move into this rapidly growing sector, enhance your career prospects on a unique programme that will improve your personal and professional skills on a diverse range of reactor technology and decommissioning modules.

Course available in taught and distance learning format.

Course Delivered by:
The University of Birmingham
University of Central Lancashire
Defence Academy of the United Kingdom
University of Leeds
University of Liverpool
The University of Manchester
The University of Sheffield

For more information:
www.notec.ac.uk
Tel: 0161 275 4579
Email: info@otec@manchester.ac.uk

ACCREDITED BY:

The Institution of Engineering and Technology
Institution of Mechanical Engineers

& ENDORSED BY:

University of Liverpool
The University of Manchester
The University of Sheffield

REGISTER AT:

www.notec.ac.uk
Tel: 0161 275 4579
Email: info@otec@manchester.ac.uk
The Institute of High Energy Physics (IHEP) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) invites applications for permanent staff positions at all levels. IHEP is a comprehensive research laboratory for particle and astroparticle physics, accelerator physics and technology, radiation technologies and applications, as well as for nuclear analytical techniques and interdisciplinary research.

For More Information: http://english.ihep.cas.cn/

Recruitment Objectives:
- Based on the needs of the research areas and the disciplines development of IHEP, we are now publicly recruiting overseas outstanding talents and scholars of relevant disciplines who possess research abilities and innovation awareness.

Programs:
1. National “Thousand Talents Program” (full time & part time programs) for established scientists
2. National “Thousand Young Talents Program” for outstanding junior scientists
3. Pioneer “Hundred Talents Program” of CAS for outstanding junior scientists, excellent junior detector or accelerator experts
4. “Outstanding Talents Program” of IHEP for scientific research or technical talents

Research Areas:
- Experimental Particle and Nuclear Physics, Theoretical Physics, Astronomy and Astrophysics, Nuclear Technology, Accelerators, Neutron physics, Condensed matter physics, Chemistry, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Biophysics, Computing, Multidisciplinary Research.

Contact:
Office of Human Resources, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
E-mail: lianggj@ihep.ac.cn
Tel: (86)010-88231357
Fax: (86)010-88231302
Address: No. 19 (B), Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District, Beijing (Postcode: 100049)
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Relativity Matters: From Einstein’s EM2C2 to Laser Particle Acceleration and Quark–Gluon Plasma
By Johann Rafelski
Springer
Also available at the CERN bookshop
This monograph on special relativity (SR) is presented in a form accessible to a broad readership, from pre-university level to undergraduate and graduate students. At the same time, it will also be of great interest to professional physicists.

Relativity Matters has all the hallmarks of becoming a classic with further editions, and appears to have no counterpart in the literature. It is particularly useful because at present SR has become a basic part not only of particle and space physics, but also of many other branches of physics and technology, such as lasers. The book has 29 chapters organised in 11 parts, which cover topics from the basics of four-vectors, space–time, Lorentz transformations, mass, energy and momentum, to particle collisions and decay, the motion of charged particles, covariance and dynamics.

The first half of the book derives basic consequences of the SR assumptions with a minimum of mathematical tools. It concentrates on the explanation of apparently paradoxical phenomena, presenting and relating counterarguments as well as debunking various incorrect statements in elementary textbooks. This is done by cleverly exploiting the Galilean method of a dialogue between a professor, his assistant and a student, to bring out questions and objections.

The importance of correctly analysing the consequences for extended and accelerating bodies is clearly presented. Among the many “paradoxes”, one notes the accelerating rocket problem that the late John Bell used to tease many of the world’s most prominent physicists with. Few of them provided a perfectly satisfactory answer.

The second half of the book, starting from part VII, covers the usual textbook material and techniques at graduate level, illustrated with examples from the research frontier. The introductions to the various chapters and subsections are still enjoyable for a broader readership, requiring little mathematics. The author does not avoid technicalities such as vector and matrix algebra and symmetries, but keeps them to a minimum. However, in the parts dealing with electromagnetism, the reader is assumed to be reasonably familiar with Maxwell’s equations.

There are copious concrete exercises and solutions. Throughout the book, indeed, every chapter is complemented by a rich variety of problems that are fully worked out. These are often used to illustrate quantitatively intriguing topics, from space travel to the laser acceleration of charged particles.

An interesting afterword concluding the book discusses how very strong acceleration becomes a modern limiting frontier, beyond which SR in classical physics becomes invalid. The magnitude of the critical accelerations and critical electric and magnetic fields are qualitatively discussed. It also briefly analyses attempts by well-known physicists to sidestep the problems that arise as a consequence.

Relativity Matters is excellent as an undergraduate and graduate textbook, and should be a useful reference for professional physicists and technical engineers. The many non-specialist sections will also be enjoyed by the general, science-interested public.

The Standard Theory of Particle Physics: Essays to Celebrate CERN’s 60th Anniversary
By Luciano Maiani and Luigi Rakosi (eds.)
World Scientific
Also available at the CERN bookshop
This book is a collection of articles dedicated to topics within the field of Standard Model physics, authored by some of the main players in both its theory and experimental development. It is edited by Luciano Maiani and Luigi Rakosi, two well-known figures in high-energy physics. The volume has 21 chapters, most of them devoted to very specific subjects. The first chapters take the reader through a fascinating tour of the history of the field, starting from the earliest days, around the time when CERN was established. I particularly enjoyed reading some recollections of Gerard ’t Hooft, such as “Asymptotic freedom was discovered three times before 1973” (when Politzer, Gross and Wilczek published their results), but not recognised as a new discovery. This is just one of those cases of misconstruction. The ‘experts’ were so sure that asymptotic freedom was impossible, that signals to the contrary were not heard, let alone believed. In turn, when I did the calculation, I found it difficult to believe that the result was still not known.”

In chapter three, K Ellis reviews the evolution of our understanding of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and deep-inelastic scattering. Among many things, he shows how the beta function depends on the strong coupling constant, αS, and explains why many perturbative calculations can be made in QCD, when the interactions take place at high-enough energies. At the hadronic scale; however, αS is too large and the perturbative expansion tool no longer works, so alternative methods have to be used. Many non-perturbative effects can be studied using the lattice QCD approach, which is addressed in chapter five. The experimental status regarding αS is reviewed in the following chapter, where G Dossertso shows the remarkable progress in measurement precision (with LHC values reaching per-cent level uncertainties and
covering an unprecedented energy range), and how the data is in excellent agreement with the theoretical expectations. Through the other chapters we can find a large diversity of topics, including a review of global fits of electroweak observables, presently aimed at probing the internal consistency of the Standard Model and constraining its possible extensions given the measured masses of the Higgs boson and of the top quark. Two chapters focus specifically on the W-boson and top-quark masses. Also discussed in detail are flavour physics, rare decays, neutrino masses and oscillations, as is the production of W and Z bosons, in particular in a chapter by M Mangano.

The Higgs boson is featured in many pages: after a chapter by J Ellis, M Gaillard and D Napolitano covering its history (and pre-history), its experimental discovery and the measurement of its properties fill two further chapters. An impressive amount of information is condensed in these pages, which are packed with many numbers and (multi-panel) figures. Unfortunately, the figures are printed in black and white (with only two exceptions), which severely affects the clarity of many of them. A book of this importance deserved a more colourful destiny.

The editors make a good point in claiming the time has come to upgrade the Standard Model into the “Standard Theory” of particle physics, and I think this book deserves a place in the bookshelves of a broad community, from the scientists and engineers who contributed to the progress of high-energy physics to younger physicists, eager to learn and enjoy the corresponding inside stories.  ❖ Carin Laurencín, CERN

**Books received**

**String Theory Methods for Condensed Matter Physics**

Michael Stone
Cambridge University Press

This book provides an introduction to various methods developed in string theory to tackle problems in condensed-matter physics.

This is the field where string theory has been most largely applied, thanks to the use of the correspondence between anti-de Sitter spaces (AdS) and conformal field theories (CFT). Formulated as a conjecture 20 years ago by Juan Maldacena of the Institute for Advanced, the AdS/CFT correspondence relates string theory, usually in its low-energy version of supergravity and in a curved background space–time, to field theory in a flat space–time of fewer dimensions.

This correspondence is holographic, which means in some sense that the physics in the higher dimension is projected onto a flat surface without losing information.

The book is articulated in four parts. In the first, the author introduces modern topics in condensed-matter physics from the perspective of a string theorist. Part two gives a basic review of general relativity and string theory, in an attempt to make the book as self-consistent as possible. The other two parts focus on the applications of string theory to condensed-matter problems, with the aim of providing the reader with the tools and methods available in the field. Going into more detail, part three is dedicated to methods already considered as standard – such as the pp-wave correspondence, spin chains and integrability, AdS/CFT phenomenology and the fluid-gravity correspondence – while part four deals with more advanced topics that are still in development, including Fermi and non-Fermi liquids, the quantum Hall effect and non-standard statistics.

Aimed at graduate students, this book asumes a good knowledge of quantum field theory and solid-state physics, as well as familiarity with general relativity.

**Physics of Atomic Nuclei**

By Vladimir Zmievskii and Alexander Volya

Wiley

This new textbook of nuclear physics aims to provide a review of the foundations of this branch of physics as well as to present more modern topics, including the important developments of the last 20 years. Even though well-established textbooks exist in this field, the authors propose a more comprehensive essay for students who want to go deeper both in understanding the basic principles of nuclear physics and in learning about the problems that researchers are currently addressing. Indeed, a renewed interest has lately revalued this field, following the availability of new experimental facilities and increased computational resources.

Another objective of this book, which is based on the lectures and teaching experience of the authors, is to clarify, at each step, the relationship between theoretical equations and experimental observables, as well as to highlight useful methods and algorithms from computational physics.

The last few chapters cover topics not normally included in standard courses of nuclear physics, and reflect the scientific interests – and occasionally the point of view – of the authors. Many problems are also provided at the end of each chapter, and some of them are fully solved.

---

**What’s so special about this color table?**

8% of men and 0.4% of women see the significance of the plot above. It uses a color table created so that people with color vision deficiency can accurately interpret simulation results. That’s a beautiful thing.

The Cividis color table, courtesy of Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, is now available in the COMSOL Multiphysics® software for simulating designs, devices, and processes in all fields of engineering, manufacturing, and scientific research. comsol.com/release/5.3a
Assembly of the ESO telescope

Construction of the 3.6 m telescope, in which CERN is collaborating with the European Southern Observatory (ESO), has now reached the important stage of testing. To check the rigidity of the telescope, which weighs more than 200 tonnes, and to finalise the control system, which will be fully automated, the telescope is being assembled in one of the halls of the Société Creusot-Loire at St. Chamond, France.

Tests will continue until April when the telescope will be dismantled and sent by sea to Chile, where assembly will start at the beginning of 1976. At the receiving end, on the La Silla mountain, work is well advanced on the building that will house it.

When the telescope comes into operation it will provide European astronomers with a first-class instrument for observing the comparatively unexplored Southern skies. Objects of particular interest are the central region of the Milky Way Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds. The Clouds are outside the galaxy and can be seen only from the Southern Hemisphere. They contain old stars, young stars and stars in formation, and are thus a magnificent natural laboratory.

● Compiled from texts on pp71–72.

Assembly of the ESO telescope (Top) On 26 February, the Spiral Readers at CERN clocked up their millionth measured event on bubble chamber film. This type of semi-automatic measuring machine was initiated at Berkeley. Two have been built at CERN, the first coming into action for regular film measurement in 1970. They both now operate at a rate of about 70 vertices per hour.

(Top Right) The van being lowered into the pit, where the 3.6 m ESO telescope is installed, plays an important part in the tests now underway. It is a mini-electronics laboratory for controlling the telescope operation, equipped by the ESO unit working at CERN.

(Bottom) The last physical obstacle to the free passage of protons between the PS and SPS falls to the drill during the annual shutdown. The wall is in the beam transfer tunnel where protons are taken from the PS to the ISR. At this point, protons will be bent off down a tunnel leading to the underground 400 GeV synchrotron ring.

● Compiled from text on p70.

Compiler’s note

In 1962 five European governments set up ESO in the style and spirit of CERN, and by 1969 an observatory site had been procured at La Silla, 2400 m high in the Atacama desert, Chile. But the fledgling organisation was not only based on CERN, it was based at CERN prior to establishing its own headquarters in Garching, Germany, in 1980. And so, apart from the huge mirror, ESO’s 3.6 m optical telescope was built at CERN.

And the local link continues. A consortium, led by Michael Mayor from the Geneva University Observatory, built HARPS, a High Accuracy Radial Velocity Planet Searcher. Installed on the 3.6 m telescope in 2003, this spectrograph can detect “wobbles” smaller than 4 km/h in the radial velocity of a star, caused by the gravitational pull of orbiting planets.

Such exceptional precision has revealed the existence of hundreds of extrasolar planets. Some of them, super-Earths, have masses of a few terrestrial masses, and some of these lie in the so-called Goldilocks zone of their host star, where life might be sustainable.

To survey the northern skies, HARPS-N was installed on the Italian 3.58 m Telescopio Nazionale Galileo at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, La Palma island, Canaries, in 2012.

Now those little green men (and women) have nowhere to hide.

BEYOND EXPECTATIONS

Following the continued success of the class leading nEXT range of turbomolecular pumps, we’re reaching even higher with the new nEXT85.

Another incredible leap in technology from Edwards, The nEXT85H high compression variant now allows us to offer you the perfect solution for your HVU applications. With class leading compression ratios, for light gases as well as the ability to take the pump whilst air cooling, the nEXT85 will give you outstanding results.

The Edwards nEXT85 Turbomolecular pump. Beyond Expectations.
V2495 - DT5495
Programmable Logic Unit

Expand the logic capabilities of your experiment with this new and fully programmable FPGA-based board.

- Available in both VME (V2495) and Desktop (DT5495) version
- User Programmable FPGA: Altera Cyclone V
- Up to 162 inputs, up to 130 outputs
- LVDS/ECL/PECL/NIM/TTL
- 3 expansion slots for mezzanines
- Ethernet (DT5495), Mini-USB 2.0 and VME interfaces
- Free Firmware demos
- 160 Channels Scaler functionality available

This automated code generator, starting from a graphical block diagram, generates the VHDL code implementing the required functionality.

Mezzanines
A395A - 32 LVDS/ECL/PECL input channels
A395B - 32 LVDS output channels
A395C - 32 ECL output channels
A395D - 8 NIM/TTL input/output channels
A395E - 8 floating output 16-bit channels

www.caen.it
Small details… Great differences